1			
1			
2			
3	VIRGINIA RACING COMMISSION		
4	STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION		
5	TYLER BUILDING COURTROOM B 1300 EAST MAIN STREET		
6	RICHMOND, VA 23219		
7	Wednesday, July 10, 2019 at 10:00 a.m.		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	COMMISSION MEMBERS:		
13	D.G. Van Clief, Jr., Chairman Stuart Siegel, Vice Chairman		
14	J. Sargeant Réynolds, Jr. I. Clinton Miller Stephanie B. Nixon		
15	COMMISSION STAFF:		
16	David S. Lermond, Jr., Executive Secretary Kimberly C. Mackey, Business Manager		
17	Rhonda Davis, Pari-Mutuel Wagering and Licensing Coordinator		
18	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE:		
19	Robert N. Drewry, Esquire		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	CAPITOL REPORTING, INC.		
25	REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS (804) 788-4917		

I	1		
1	1.	Call to Order	3
2	2.	Approval of the May 22 nd meeting minutes	3
3	3.	Public Comment Period	3
4	4.	New Business	
5		a. Request for Approval of Final Amendments to Chapter 120 (Claiming Procedures)	21
6		 b. Request for Approval of Final Amendments to Chapter 180 (Permitted Race Day Substances 	23
7		c. Request for Approval of Racing Officials for Colonial Downs	25
8		d. Request for Approval of Outlets Receiving Colonial Downs' Signal	28
9		e. Request for Approval of VHBPA Benevolence Budget for 2019	30
10		f. Request for Approval of the 2019 Limited License Application Submitted by the	66
11		Shenandoah County Agricultural Foundation for Shenandoah Downs	
12		g. Request for Approval of Racing Officials for Shenandoah Downs	69
13		h. Request for Approval of VHHA Benevolence Budget for 2019	70
14		i. Update by Scott Woogen on Virginia Harness Racing	71
15			111 113
16		Additional Safety Measures at Colonial Downs for 2019	±±9
17	5. 6.	Commissioners' Comments Closed Session (if necessary)	128
18	7.	Adjournment	129
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
1			

1 THE COURT: Good morning, Ladies and 2 Gentlemen. It is ten o'clock, and therefore, I would like to call to order this meeting of the 3 Virginia Racing Commission. We do have all 4 commissioners present and we'll begin with the 5 first order of business, that being to consider 6 approval of our May 22nd meeting minutes. 7 I did review those and I didn't see anything. 8 I show it to my fellow commissioners. Anybody 9 have any modifications they care to suggest or 10 modification of the minutes? 11 12 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Move to approve. 13 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: We have a motion to approve. Any second? 14 15 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: I'll second. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you very much. Any 16 opposed? The minutes of the May 22nd VRC meeting 17 18 are approved. we'll now go to the public comment period. 19 20 Does anybody have any comments they'd like to make 21 on the agenda this morning or any other topic 22 related to that matter? If so, when you do speak, if you would preface your remarks by stating your 23 name for the record. I ask that you keep it to 24 five minutes, although I think we do have one 25

request already for a couple of minutes grace period which we are happy to grant. Mr. Berman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BERMAN: Good morning. Thank you. For the record, my name is Tad Berman. Today, I'd like to address two of the items on the agenda; the benevolence fund and also the 2020 race days and beyond.

I'll just take a second about this benevolence fund. You might say I was a catalyst for that bill 20 years ago. Mr. Jacobs was failing to keep up the OTB on Broad Street. We were over there. The place was becoming delapidated. We were walking through urine in the bathrooms and I kept coming to these Commission meetings and hammering away until finally the Racing Commission came up with this breakage bill. It wasn't exactly what I wanted, but there was 30 percent of that money that was dedicated to benevolence and I was happy with that. I was pleased with that.

Let's see. That bill was probably in 2001 and each year, I began to keep up with how that money was being spent, and from the beginning, I had some objections, but I'll cut through all that.

I'll just tell you I spent probably 20 hours at the Library of Virginia over at the big

warehouse on Laburnum where you have to ask for a box of information from ten, 15 years ago. I did this for weeks until I was able to put together the entirety of this benevolence bill and how it has been abused. Now I have that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm not gonna get too much into detail, but I am gonna read right here. The benevolence bill says that 30 percent be deposited in the racing benevolence fund administered jointly by the licensee and the recognized majority horseman's group racing.

The licensed race meeting to be disbursed with the approval of the Commission for gambling addiction and substance abuse counseling, recreational, educational or other related programs.

I'm gonna read you 18 things that are questionable about that benevolence bill. Number one. Buy fertilizer for the turf track. How does that fit into the benevolence bill? \$25,000 worth of landscaping, television sets, mattresses and air conditioners.

You might remember five years ago, I stood up and said something about that. That was just the tip of the iceberg, but I noticed that every year

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the HBPA was coming back and asking for \$4,000 and \$5,000 for either air conditioners or mattresses.

I've got -- I used to have one of those small window units and I remember one time I dropped it out of a second story window and it still worked, so I knew that something hokey was going on with these air conditioners and these mattresses. Even Mr. Petramalo said they didn't purchase those every year, but they were always on the budget.

A track-based inspection for \$3,000, contract labor for \$10,000 to hang mesh and do various odd jobs, ventilators in the receiving barns.

An intern program that would provide manpower to the VHBPA year round, sunscreen mesh for barns, eight misting fans, gutters for the barns, seed for the track, fans in the receiving barns, pesticide, training wheel starting gate, concrete pads for the barns and storage pods for the trainers.

I have a problem with all that stuff. You know, Mr. Petramalo even changed the name from the Racing Benevolence Fund to the Back Stretch Improvement Fund and that's not how it was intended. It was intended to benefit people on the back stretch, but others also. It was never

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intended to be a Back Stretch Improvement Fund.

They also used to deposit the money into the back stretch improvement escrow account. Okay. They renamed it. Dad used to tell me if you tell a lie enough times people will start believing it. For me, that's how I see it.

Mr. Petramalo also said one time, Maybe we spend it, maybe we don't. I just want to know now when the benevolence bill this year, when we settle, I want some accounting done. I want to know how much money is spent. I want receipts. I want to be able to go back and reconcile whatever you approve them to have against whatever they've spent and then I want to know where the rest of that money is going. We've never been able to do that.

Commissioner Miller was on that Commission back in 2010. When this issue was brought up, Commissioner Miller made a comment that there was nobody here to represent those people and probably never will be, but I'm gonna be here to represent them and make sure this abuse doesn't happen again.

> If we go straight to the budget now, I'll tell you what I'm looking at. I got a lot of things

that I have a problem with here. Hats and t-shirts. I see that on there every year. VHBPA hats, VHBPA t-shirts. If they want hats and t-shirts, they can pay for them themselves. That's self-promotion. That's not benevolence. That's just a way to self-promote themselves. I think you should strike that altogether.

A chaplain. You know, a chaplain is a good thing and I am glad that they are gonna have some kind of religious or some kind of clergy out there to help these people, but when I see you're spending \$8,000 for six weeks for a clergy, I think that's a little high. That's like \$1400 a week, something like that. You know, there's a lot of good churches and synagogues around here that could provide.

I'm sure these people would be happy to provide a clergy over there at the racetrack. All you gotta do is make a small donation to their church. They would be happy to do it. I'm not convinced that we need to spend \$8,000 for a six week meet for a chaplain.

Transportation. \$5,000 for a van. You know, that seems like a lot of money to me. I tried to find out yesterday how much those vans cost. I

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

don't know, but I saw a Mercedes van costs \$200 for a day. That's the only one that would add up to \$5,000, if you ask me. I know that -- and we don't need anything that fancy.

I know that the HBPA says they use their van to transport their VIPs back and forth to the racetrack on big race days, so maybe they should split that cost. Maybe the VHBPA should pay some of that money for the transportation for the van.

The mental and dental care. If somebody gets kicked and gets their tooth knocked out, by all means take them to the dentist. Years ago, I remember Mr. Petramalo did a bunch of cosmetic surgery and improved somebody out of this budget. That's not what it's for. It's to provide acute care. I'm not convinced that we need \$7500 for that.

The Groom Elite training program sounds great. I've looked at the records and I've got some of them here. I've got a whole stack of stuff at home that I've copied and looked at and the Groom Elite training program, \$4,000 this year. It doesn't happen every year, but they've spent 5,000 some years, 6,000 in another, 4,000 here.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I looked it up last night and saw some of the

programs they had available. I saw a bunch for grooms that was \$49. It was a two-day program and I just want to know where the \$4,000 is going.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that we've had these discussions about this program before and I remember one of the commissioners asked years ago and Mr. Petramalo responded that some years there was only three or four or five people that were even interested in that program. So I would like to know where that 4,000 is going.

Last but not least, the track kitchen. You know, we're gonna be open for -- well, six weeks. Dave is correcting me now. I said five weeks. We're going to run for five weeks, but the track will probably be open six or seven weeks.

I have a real problem with us giving the track kitchen a \$10,000 subsidy just to come out there and open up. I don't think that's necessary. I think that under the circumstances, we could very easily just get a food truck out there, have them come out in the morning from six till ten, have them come out in the afternoons.

In the meantime, these people are provided transportation to go get snacks and stuff like that from the grocery store. That would eliminate

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the cost of the \$10,000 subsidy.

Some people will say, well, what about the days the horses aren't running. They can just walk across the street and go to the racetrack and get something at the track kitchen and the track can give them a 50 percent off coupon and provide them with a hot meal any time they want. So we don't need to spend \$10,000 on that guy and give it to him to open up that track kitchen.

As far as meal tickets are concerned, that's something that has concerned me for a long time because it's easy enough to get \$2,000 worth of meal tickets and just walk around the track and go, hey, you need a meal ticket? Here you go. Hey, can I have a couple meal tickets? I think that's bogus.

I think in the future what we need to do is set up a system where these people come into the track office, the VHBPA office, and they apply for meal tickets, they show that they need these meal tickets and then they're issued tickets from the office. I don't want somebody randomly going around passing out meal tickets.

So I'm hoping you guys are gonna look and ask some questions about that, instead of just, you

know, blowing through this and passing whatever they pass.

As far as the breakage is concerned, it's only 51,000 this year, but I asked Mr. Lermond is there gonna be any breakage with the historical horse racing machines. This was some time ago. He told me maybe a little. Well, I saw in the main revenue report, there was over \$5,000 breakage in just May at the New Kent facility. Is that correct, Mr. Lermond?

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LERMOND: That's true.

MR. BERMAN: That means that that's gonna be \$60,000 in breakage produced in that one facility this year. That's only 600 machines. If you multiply that by five to give you your 3,000 machines and you're looking at an additional \$300,000 that's gonna go into that breakage. This breakage is gonna become a big thing, and like I say, I wanna make sure this money is spent the way it's supposed to be spent.

The last thing I was just gonna say is to the harness guys. I see their benevolence request also. They're requesting \$1750 for meal tickets and that's it. That's it. They don't need a \$10,000 subsidy. They don't need any of this other baloney, but they're only asking for \$1700 in meal tickets. So I would say to the harness guys good job. That's the way to keep the lid on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll move on to the 2020 race days. I've already been in here and told you guys how I feel about the purses being as high as they are and how I feel like we're just doing the same thing we did 20 years ago. It's already a failed strategy. It's proven to be a failed strategy. I wanna go past that.

I'm just gonna remind the Commission we had 25 to 30 days of racing every year with just the ADW and the OTB money. Now we've got slots and they're acting like they're doing us a favor giving us 30 days of racing, which I understand.

I'll tell ya about that. I've had a chance to speak to Mr. Lucas face-to-face about that repeatedly and I've asked him how are you gonna do 30 days of racing with \$500,000 or \$600,000 next year because there's no money.

The horsemen get no money for the first 12 months after the opening of every single betting parlor. With the money that they get from the ADW and the OTBs and the little bit of money they're gonna get from selling the licenses to the offtrack thing, that's still only \$5 million. You're gonna need 15 million or 18 million if they run 600,000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that's just a political thing. I think they just wanna talk about 30 days, act like they're doing something great and they're gonna accomplish so much.

You know, the report they commissioned back in July of 2018 that was used in the General Assembly to provide them with the information they needed to provide I guess to the legislators to convince them to do this, in the report, it says they are gonna start with 15 days and ramp up to 25 days by 2022.

What's the big hurry all of a sudden? Well, I think the big hurry is probably because they want more machines and it's the same strategy that Mr. Jacobs had years ago. You're gonna go, you're gonna apply for 30 days of racing. You say, well, we just don't have enough money. We need more machines. That's the only way we're gonna get anything accomplished.

I think that's a bogus argument also and I think these guys should be held their feet to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fire and first I want to see them produce the amount of racing they promised us even before we even consider giving them anything else.

The last thing I'm gonna say is, you know, I've been out to the track twice; actually three times, and I've had a chance to look around. I went out there one day with a date and we spent some time on Preakness day playing the horses, and to tell you the truth, I'm really disappointed.

Sure, you walk in the front door and the slots look great, the place looks great, but that whole first floor has been taken over by slots now. That's where the horse players will congregate, socialize. Where we will go to escape the heat, where we will go to use the bathroom; stuff like that.

I've been involved in -- I've been around racing since I was that tall at the track and I remember running around the track and having a good time. I asked Dave, I said, Where are the kids gonna use the bathroom? And he didn't really know.

> Come to find out, I think what's gonna happen is from what I understand, they're gonna have a trailer out there that the kids go in to take a

leak because they're not allowed inside on the first floor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, this is in Richmond in August and September. I've been out there plenty of times when we've had thunderstorms, lightening, high winds in the middle of the second or third race. That's at five or six o'clock in the afternoon. Where are the kids gonna retreat to? They're not allowed to be on the inside anywhere on the first floor.

So the kids don't have a place to go to the bathroom. Now they have to retreat to a big tent down at the end of the concourse to get away from whatever kind of storm or to try to get away from the heat.

You know, like I say, you go up -- they told me that when you come in, if you wanna go upstairs to the third floor, the kids are gonna be allowed to go to half of the third floor.

I'm just really upset about this because, you know, this is all about the slots and the racing out there. It just appears to me to be an afterthought and I tell ya I'm not looking forward to going out there and being stuck out on that (inaudible). If you wanna go inside where the slot machines are, that's the whole first floor. I'm not happy about that, either, but it is what it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like I say, I hope that you take in consideration -- you asked some questions about that breakage bill to get some clarity on that, and you know, I'm gonna write a report about this, an in-depth report and post it on my site so everybody can know the truth about what has been happening with this breakage bill for the last 15 years.

And with that, I'm done. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you, Mr. Berman. Just as a comment to yours, I do know that the track ownership and track management team is doing their utmost to deliver a positive experience that's possible for every customer there and I'm confident that they will take any remarks in a constructive manner and I know they'll do the best job they can.

Furthermore, if you have anything in writing you'd like to submit to the Commission for our consideration, please give it to Mr. Lermond. We'd be happy to take a look at it. MR. BERMAN: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any other public 2 comments? Yes, sir. 3 MR. TROTTER: Commissioners, my name's Ray I'm the vice president of the Virginia 4 Trotter. Harness Horsemen Association. I'll keep this as 5 short as possible. Very short. 6 7 I just wanted to note, as you see, Dr. Woogen, 8 our president, is on the agenda for later on. Ι 9 just want you to know for the Virginia horsemen standpoint, we're 100 percent behind whatever 10 Scott has to say today. We've talked about it at 11 great length at our board meetings and want you to 12 13 know our Virginia horsemen are very much behind 14 Scott and these remarks he's going to make today. 15 That's all. 16 Thank you. Any other CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: 17 comments? All right. Thank you very much. COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr. Chairman? 18 19 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes, sir. 20 COMMISSIONER MILLER: When you say the 21 Virginia horsemen, all the Virginia horsemen or 22 the harness horsemen? MR. TROTTER: Virginia harness horsemen. 23 24 Sorry. 25 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. NOFFSINGER: Can I read you something? CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes, ma'am. Certainly. MS. NOFFSINGER: It won't take but a minute or so, if you give me just a second to find it. My name is Jan Noffsinger. I'm from near Warsaw, Virginia, third generation harness horse person.

The decisions you make here deeply affect me and my family. I have an adult special needs son that lives with me. I chose this career 22 years ago -- he's now 35 -- in order that I could teach him hard work, a good day's, you know, work. He's coming around with the horses. He's more about the chickens.

This is a little Facebook excerpt that someone posted a few months ago, if I can find it, about the small stable that I've tried to continue on, but when tracks close -- Rose Croft is normally our home track because we can race it basically all year.

I'm going tomorrow to Ocean to see my horse race. I'm going to be spending my money in Maryland and Delaware and I would really like to be spending my money in Virginia, but the days that you all seem to want to keep us from having

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really affect our lifestyles.

what it costs for me to ship to go up there up the road, I have to basically spend the night. If I'm going to paddock the horse tomorrow night, I can't get back down the road. I have to pay somebody to take care of my normal turn-outs. I've got stallions. I've bred horses. I've foaled horses. I've done it all. Now, I basically make hay and let someone else get the training bill because I'm not close enough to a track to race enough to support my family.

I can't seem to pull this up right now. I guess you can hear the quiver in my voice. I'm a little nervous, not used to talking this way. But anything you can do to help us in the harness horse field.

And again, I do support what Scott has to say. He's much more informed on everything, but we need to race. It's a long tradition, history. We used to have a fair, our family, and it involved five counties and we had fans. We're a grass roots bunch of people. We'll beat you in a horse race, but we'll help you any way we can.

I've been in the Thoroughbred world, spent four years in Middleburg, worked for a lot of good

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people. I don't know if anybody remembers old (inaudible), Peggy Mills, some of those people.

I just had to come home and get back to some real people who knew how to get their hands dirty and work hard. That's all I want to do is keep doing what I love doing and I hope you help us do it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you very much. It would probably be good for me to point out this morning that I think I speak for all of my fellow commissioners that our mission is to do whatever we can to grow, sustain and make healthy the entire business. That means all breeds and all facets of the business. Thank you for your comments.

Anyone else? Okay. Thank you very much.

That takes us on to new business, the first item of which is a request from staff for approval of final amendments to Chapter 120, claiming procedures. I will ask Mr. Lermond if he could take us through these next couple items starting with this one.

MR. LERMOND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Behind Tab Two of your books are some proposed amendments to the claiming procedures. Both of these rule changes that we're proposing today were born out of a very productive meeting that we held about three weeks ago at Colonial Downs. I'm sure you'll probably hear more about that later in the meeting.

One of the focuses of the meeting was the safety of the horses and riders that are going to participate this year and things that we can do to try to improve that.

The change to the claiming procedure is actually one that ARCI has in their model rules. It basically says that if a horse is claimed, the old trainer has to provide the new trainer with records of injections to that horse in the last 30 days. That's so that the new trainer knows what has occurred and will not inject that horse again too close together, which would be detrimental to the welfare of the horse.

Dr. Caruthers supports this. I think everyone at the meeting thought this was a good idea. It's a simple rule change for us. It will be not too hard to enforce and I'll be happy to answer any questions. If not, I'd like a motion to approve this as a final amendment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I'd simply add these

1 amendments to the rules that you're going to see 2 this morning. There are not very many of them, 3 but they were carefully thought through and talked through and each and every one of them speaks to 4 5 an ongoing effort to enhance the safety and integrity of our sport, both for our equine and 6 7 our human athletes. We think there is nothing more important that we can be doing right now in 8 9 anticipation of the upcoming race meeting. Ι 10 would also support this change. 11 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Is there a motion? 12 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes. I am. 13 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: I move approval. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: The vice chairman moves 14 15 approval. Is there a second? 16 COMMISSIONER NIXON: I second. 17 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you very much. Any questions or further discussion? If not, please 18 19 signify your approval by voting aye. 20 NOTE: The Commission votes aye. 21 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any opposed? Thank you. 22 The motion carries unanimously. 23 The next item is a similar request. This one, 24 approval for amendments to Chapter 180, which 25 deals with permitted race day substances.

Mr. Lermond, will you walk us through this one again as well?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LERMOND: Yes, sir. One of the topics that came up during the meeting that I think was brought up by Dr. Daniels was the fact that our rules allow for a maximum dosage of Lasix of ten milliliters. That's just not practical. That's not what is done in real life.

When you talk about the heat and humidity at Colonial Downs in August and you're going to give a horse ten milliliters of something that's going to drain the fluids out of his or her body, we just didn't think that made a whole lot of sense.

I think there have been other states that have moved to lower the amount of Lasix. This is not a statement by the Commission saying that we either approve or disapprove of Lasix. We just feel that ten milliliters is just an outrageous amount to give a horse, especially considering the heat at Colonial Downs, and simply, we just want to lower the maximum from ten milliliters down to six milliliters.

Dr. Caruthers has informed me that most trainers normally give anywhere between five and six milliliters, so it's not that we're causing a

1 major change here to the trainers that race, but I 2 think it's a very good precaution. Again, as you 3 said, DG, it speaks to the welfare of the horse and I would recommend approval of this change and 4 5 seek a motion to approve it as a final amendment. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any questions for 6 7 Mr. Lermond on the issue? COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: If ten milliliters is 8 9 an outrageous amount, why wasn't this addressed in 10 years past? 11 MR. LERMOND: That's a great question and I 12 don't have an answer, sir. 13 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: I move approval. 14 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: We have a motion to 15 approve. We need a second. COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: 16 Second. 17 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. Any further questions? Any discussion? If not, please 18 signify your approval by voting aye. 19 20 NOTE: The Commission votes aye. 21 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any opposed? The motion 22 carries unanimously. Thank you. 23 Under Tab Four, you will find a list of 24 officials for the upcoming Colonial Downs meeting. 25 We need approval of this list. Mr. Lermond, any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

comments or explanation you'd like to make?

MR. LERMOND: Yes, sir. I think it was Commissioner Nixon that observed the other day that there are a few familiar names on here; Shane Burke, who has always done a great job at Colonial with the stakes program, and just the list of people here are very well seasoned, experienced people.

The majority of them came up with Mrs. DeLuca from Tampa Bay. She has worked with these people at Tampa. Again, I'm just impressed with the people on this list and I don't have an issue with any of them.

I would also like to point out that the Commission stewards are also on this list. Jack Houghton would be our presiding steward, Jim Lodges and Tad Zimmerman. So I don't know, Robert, if we need two separate motions, but the Commission has to approve the racing officials and also the stewards. If they can do it as one motion, I would seek that motion.

22 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: So moved.
23 COMMISSIONER NIXON: Second.
24 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: We have a motion.
25 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: I have a question,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes.

COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: On this list, there's a to be determined one more person. Do we just give Mr. Lermond our permission to name that person later since that person hasn't necessarily been approved yet here?

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I think it would probably be appropriate to include that in the motion. Mr. Vice Chairman, would you be willing to amend the motion accordingly?

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Sure.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay. That's included. We have a motion. And a second?

COMMISSIONER NIXON: Second.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: We have motion and a second. Any further questions, comments or discussion? If not, please signify your approval by voting aye.

NOTE: The Commission votes aye.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any opposed? Thank you. Motion carries unanimously.

Mr. Lermond, under Tab Five, we have a list of outlets for the signal. Any comments or explanation required here? MR. LERMOND: We haven't had to do this in about five or six years, but one of the things the Commission has to approve is the list of outlets that signal will be sent to and wagers will be taken from.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colonial Downs has employed the New York Racing Association to handle their simulcast contracts. Delaware Park uses NYRA the same way. I think they do an excellent job and you can see behind Tab Five this is quite an impressive list of sites.

I've reviewed them. I've also asked my counterpart at Delaware Park to let me know if there are any on here that would give them any pause or any problems. He absolutely said no, these are all legitimate and good sites.

I wanted to ask Jill, when this list was given we were certain about the New York OTBs and I think Wyoming; they're on the list.

MS. BYRNE: I have not received the update on that, but I'm expecting that.

MR. LERMOND: So I told Jill it would make sense to put them on the list. If they do decide to jump in, then they've got the approval. Staff has reviewed this list of outlets and I recommend

1 the Commission's approval. 2 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: Mr. Chairman? 3 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes. COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: Mr. Lermond, on here, 4 5 I see that on this list -- I guess that this would be a pre-approval because Rosie's at Hampton and 6 7 Rosie's at Chesapeake aren't open yet. So are we preapproving those? 8 9 MR. LERMOND: I think it's just wishful 10 thinking. I know that Hampton probably won't be 11 open by that time. 12 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: I wanted to point out 13 a technicality, if there is one. MR. LERMOND: I think that came from when NYRA 14 put this list together. Those are the outlets 15 that Colonial Downs lists as their outlets when 16 17 they take other racetracks. It's a good point, but I think I still would recommend approving the 18 list as it is. 19 20 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: Okay. Great. 21 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any other questions for 22 Mr. Lermond? Okay. In that case, we need a 23 motion to approve. 24 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: I'll so move. 25 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Second.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. Any further questions or discussion? In that case, please signify your approval by voting aye.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NOTE: The Commission votes aye. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any opposed? Thank you. The outlets for receiving Colonial Downs' signal are approved as set forth.

Okay. Next up is a request for approval of the VHBPA benevolence budget for 2019. Commissioners will find that in their books under Tab Six and Mr. Petramalo is going to present this item.

MR. PETRAMALO: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You have before you our request for approval to spend approximately \$51,000 in breakage money. The breakage money results from the track keeping the odd cents from wagers. Wagers are always paid out in \$2.10, \$5.40. The odd pennies are kept. That's the breakage amount.

By statute, the racetrack keeps 70 percent of that for capital improvement. The other 30 percent is for benevolent purposes. We run the benevolence program on the back stretch, so I would note that to the extent that Tad Berman was throwing around numbers about the breakage, the

huge amount of breakage coming from the Rosie's operations, that doesn't come to us. Thirty percent of that comes to us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But that aside, there are a number of other inaccuracies in Tad's criticism and I think probably the best way to address them is for me to go through the budget that you have in front of you.

Now remember what the stable area is like. There are 15 barns back there with stalls for 1,000 horses. When full, you're looking at maybe 400 or 500 people that are working almost full-time on the back side. We have 120 dormitory rooms with 240 beds and 120 air conditioners.

What we do as an HBPA is provide social welfare programs, counseling programs, educational programs, et cetera, for those folks who are residing on the back side. For the most part, the folks residing on the back side are grooms, hot walkers, exercise riders, et cetera. They are low-paid employees, to put it mildly.

So we do things like pass out meal tickets to those who need it for redemption in the racetrack kitchen. Each ticket is worth a \$6 meal and we gladly give them out. When somebody needs it, they come to us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alternatively or in addition, when grooms arrive on a trailer with trucks and they are there for a day or two, we go around, and as a matter of courtesy and good faith, we give them meal tickets so they can go to the racetrack kitchen and get a meal.

We also subsidize the operation of the kitchen by giving the proprietor \$5,000 in return for his willingness to keep the prices down in the kitchen. So the food is good and the prices are reasonable. We fund that.

What you see on the first entry, \$12,500, basically pays for what I have just described. That's based on our past experience.

Admittedly, in other years we spent more, but that's because we've had more than six week meets.

With regard to transportation. Trust me, we do not have a Mercedes van. The van for this meet this year I've contracted will cost us \$2199. The rest of the money goes to pay a driver, usually Spanish-speaking driver who drives the van for us.

We don't use the van, for the most part, to go pick up rich clients at the airport. It's a 12 person van that we use to transport grooms to Latino grocery stores, and on off days to recreational areas to go swimming, et cetera. That's what the van is used for and we've been doing that for probably at least 12 years that I've been involved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

33

The chaplain, I think the \$8,000 for the chaplain will probably be less than that because we have a chaplain who lives, I believe his congregation is in Providence Forge.

In order to retain a chaplain, we went through the Racetrack Chaplaincy of America, which is headquartered in Lexington, Kentucky and they represent and provide chaplains throughout the country.

They were able to find a chaplain for us nearby so we won't have to pay for living expenses, but we do pay the chaplain \$20 an hour for his or her time, so we probably won't spend 8,000; we'll spend less than that. The chaplain is available at all times.

He or she conducts services. The chaplain counsels other types of problems, including alcohol and substance abuse, et cetera. The chaplain is always around.

We also have groom cash awards. What we have

done for years and years and years is to award \$20 in each race for the best turned out horse. The paddock judge determines the best turned out and that person gets \$20 cash in an envelope from us. Again, we've been doing that forever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The hats and the t-shirts. We certainly don't do that to promote the HBPA or anybody else. We do that as kind of a fun thing to give the grooms and the hot walkers and the folks back there a hat and a t-shirt.

Medical and dental care is something that we've often provided. We have people on the back side who get injured and they're not covered by workers' comp. It might be an off-hour injury or once we had someone come with stomach pains and it turned out the exercise rider was pregnant. We provide a doctor and we use an operation in Williamsburg.

Also, we spent a lot of money on a dentist in Providence Forge. We have people with very bad teeth and they need help and we send them down there and we pay for it.

The Groom Elite training program is not something to dismiss as something you get for \$45; it's two days online. That's not the program that

we've run.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have run -- the normal Groom Elite program runs for six weeks. It's two days a week on the dark days. It's a combination of classroom training and hands-on instruction in the barns. It's probably the best program of that sort in the country. It wasn't put together by us. It was put together initially by Texas A&M and then taken over by our national HBPA.

This year, we were not planning on running that type of program because the meet is too short and given the nature of the horse population, that is, we expect there will be a lot of ship-ins, there may not be as many people residing on the back side.

What we had planned was a two-day course for hot walkers. That is to teach somebody how to walk around with a horse safely. Again, it's not likely that we're going to run that program again because of the shortage of the meets, so that's money we'll save.

Finally, there's a disabled jockey benefit golf tournament. We've run that for I don't know how many, eight or ten years. Again, that's money that we pay the golf course to rent the course for

the day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We sell tickets and the ticket revenue goes to the permanently disabled jockey fund and we had a particular Virginia disabled jockey, Shannon Campbell, who shared in that. That's what we do and that's what we're proposing to do with the \$51,000.

Now, let me again clarify for Tad Berman's understanding. All of our benevolence fund money does not come from the breakage. We contributed each year from our VHBPA funds to the back stretch benevolence account.

For example, now we probably have close to \$150,000 in that account. Only 50,000 comes from the breakage that's subject to your approval.

The other 100,000, we're using portions of it to do things that we've done in the past; that is, buy mattresses. We need 240 mattresses. You can imagine what the existing 240 mattresses are like after being used for many years and then sitting for five years. We threw them all away and started all over again.

With regard to air conditioners, we've checked them. Most of them are working now, but if you run a 5000 BTU air conditioner 24 hours a day,

it's not going to last even if you drop it out the window as Mr. Berman suggested. It won't work. So we buy extra air conditioners. At any given time, we probably have ten in stock that we go out and replace. When I say we, that's me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Likewise, we have over the years put up sunscreen mesh for the benefit of those who work inside the barn, shed, including the hot walkers, the grooms and guess what, the horses. It keeps the sun out from shining into the stables.

So that's what we do. I don't feel any need to apologize for it, but I just think it's important to note that a good bit of what Tad said is not accurate.

Now with regard to accounting, as long as I have been doing it since probably 2005, believe me, I have every receipt for every dollar that has ever been spent. If anybody would like to see them, please give me a call and I'll let them see it. If you have any questions, please feel free.

Our back stretch benevolence involves much more and has over the years than what I've described, but I'm happy to respond to any questions.

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: You mentioned several of

1 these items that you're likely to not spend much 2 money on those items. What happens to that 3 surplus? 4 MR. PETRAMALO: Stays in the account. 5 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: For next wear? 6 MR. PETRAMALO: Sure. 7 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: So then the \$51,000 8 perhaps will be a lower number next year because 9 you already have a surplus? 10 MR. PETRAMALO: The \$51,000 next year will be 11 higher because next year --12 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Because you have more 13 days? 14 MR. PETRAMALO: Correct. 15 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: I realize that, but the 16 surplus will be applied to this before you make 17 the request? MR. PETRAMALO: Yes. That's correct. Well. 18 19 no, it won't in the following sense. Let's say we 20 have \$8,000 left over and next year we want to 21 spend \$75,000. Within that 75,000 will be the 22 8,000. 23 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Yes. 24 MR. PETRAMALO: What I've come to you with is 25 a budget for \$75,000 and saying this is how we're

going to spend, including the 67,000 new and the \$8,000 old. So that's how I would do it. COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Right. I get that. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any other questions for Mr. Petramalo?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER NIXON: I just have a comment. If anyone spent time or worked on the back side, I think these requests are well thought out and appreciated.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: One question about the chaplaincy, Mr. Petramalo. Isn't it true that in addition to guidance, spiritual guidance and counsel, that the chaplain is in many cases an important pipeline for benevolence from other sources? I know they act as a contact point for funding from other charitable sources that provide back stretch support.

MR. PETRAMALO: That hasn't been our experience so far. For many, many years, we had the good fortune to have Marjorie Bevins, Reverend Marjorie Bevins, as our chaplain. She was nearby and grew up at the racetrack and was actually a former jockey. She provided a just huge amount of services. But we've never reached out beyond for further sources of funding. We've generally been able to do what we want with the money that was available. But in prior days, the breakage used to generate for us, just the breakage, \$120,000 a year, but that was probably in 2008, 2009. Then with the wagering dropping, it dropped down, so I think in 2013, we probably had \$100,000 or less. So we've been fortunate in that we haven't had to look to outside sources.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also might add that as part of the sales transaction where Colonial Downs was sold, without getting into too much detail, we have a commitment from Colonial Downs for at least the next four years to contribute \$109,000 for back stretch benevolence.

> CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: I have a question. Mr. Petramalo, you have receipts for everything spent and you have an accounting with all funds received into your fund, correct?

MR. PETRAMALO: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Now, is that reported then to the Association and to the officers of the

1	Association and they review that and do you do
2	that on an annual basis?
3	MR. PETRAMALO: You mean our HBPA Board of
4	Directors?
5	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.
6	MR. PETRAMALO: Yes. Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: So they do that?
8	MR. PETRAMALO: Yes. They get monthly
9	financial reports and one of the reports is on the
10	back stretch account.
11	COMMISSIONER MILLER: So you're constantly
12	under review?
13	MR. PETRAMALO: Yes, and happily so. One of
14	the few things I remember from law school is one
15	of the professors said, just remember, somebody
16	has to go to jail. Make sure it's the client and
17	not you, and I've always kept that.
18	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I have another question.
19	MR. PETRAMALO: Yes, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: This is just in general,
21	not necessarily just for you, but for Dave or
22	anyone who knows. Is there any regulatory or
23	statutory limitation or guidance provided for the
24	receipts of these funds and disbursement of these
25	funds?

1 MR. PETRAMALO: No, other than the statute, 2 which says 30 percent of breakage is for 3 benevolence and they define it and then subject to the approval by the Racing Commission. That's it. 4 5 COMMISSIONER MILLER: No particularization 6 about how things should be spent? 7 MR. PETRAMALO: No. I can read the language. It says, substance abuse counseling, recreational, 8 9 educational and other related programs. 10 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you. 11 MR. LERMOND: Mr. Chairman? 12 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes. 13 MR. LERMOND: I just wanted to clarify with 14 Frank that the 51,000 is in a separate account 15 from money that you say you put in to that? MR. PETRAMALO: No. It's in one back stretch 16 17 account with Atlantic Union Bank, but we segregate 18 the accounts, so with regard to the \$51,000, I can 19 tell you how it's being spent. 20 It's just impractical to try and set up two 21 accounts; one with back stretch benevolence money 22 from the Association and the other from the 23 breakage fund. The last bank statement you sent 24 MR. LERMOND: 25 me after you received money, the balance was just

1 at \$51,000? 2 MR. PETRAMALO: That's correct, but since that 3 time, there has been a deposit of \$75,000 which is not breakage money. 4 5 MR. LERMOND: I thought I advised you that would have been better off in a separate account. 6 7 MR. PETRAMALO: You did advise me of that and 8 I disagree with you. COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: These numbers are 9 10 subject to the Commission, in this case, secretary's scrutiny as well? 11 MR. PETRAMALO: We'll make our records 12 13 available certainly to the secretary or the entire 14 Racing Commission. 15 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Further on Commissioner 16 Miller's question, is the review that your 17 accounts undergo in this case, or specifically this account, is that review conducted by 18 19 an independent auditor? 20 MR. PETRAMALO: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: So it's part of your 22 annual --23 MR. PETRAMALO: We filed with you an annual audited financial report from our outside 24 25 accounting firm of Yount, Hyde and Barbour. As a

1 matter of fact, I think we filed the 2018 report 2 sometime in April or May. 3 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: So presumably, those numbers and that date is already in our records? 4 5 MR. PETRAMALO: Well, it won't be for the back stretch account because we have no back stretch 6 7 benevolence because we're not racing, for the most 8 part. 9 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: will that be automatically or if they want it do we need to ask 10 for it? 11 12 MR. PETRAMALO: NO. It will be automatically 13 in the audit. It will be audited. 14 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay. Thank you. MR. PETRAMALO: There is one other matter that 15 16 I wanted to raise with you prompted by a number of 17 things. I got a copy from Dave Lermond of the approved 18 19 wagering format for the upcoming meet; that is, 20 Colonial will offer win, place, show wagering, 21 exactas, trifectas, Pick 3s, et cetera. 22 That got me to thinking about a number of 23 things, one of which is that under our statute, 24 the takeout, the wagering takeout, is subject to 25 Racing Commission approval based on a joint

1 request from the racetrack and the HBPA. Let me 2 read for you from the statute. 3 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Mr. Petramalo, before we sort of shift topics, why don't we -- or if this 4 is not related. 5 MR. PETRAMALO: Oh, I'm sorry. Sure. 6 7 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Why don't we go ahead and deal with your benevolence budget. Are there 8 9 any further questions on the budget or budget process for Mr. Petramalo? If not, we need a 10 11 motion to approve this budget as submitted. 12 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: So moved. 13 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Do we have a second? 14 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. Any further discussion? If not, please signify your approval 16 17 by saying aye. NOTE: The Commission votes aye. 18 19 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any opposed? The budget 20 is approved. Motion carries unanimously. Okay. 21 MR. PETRAMALO: Let me beg your indulgence and 22 ramble a bit. As you know, pari-mutuel wagering, 23 all the betters, the betters are betting against 24 each other and the money goes in to a pool. With 25 regard to that pool, there's a takeout or a

commission, which in the past in the statute was set at 18 percent for win, place and show wagering and 22 percent for exotics, Pick 3s, trifectas, et cetera.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The statute was changed somewhere in 2010 or thereabouts and it was changed at the request of Colonial Downs and the horsemen.

Colonial was experiencing a loss in handle. In 2008 -- during the 2008, 2009, during the live meet, the handle -- and by that, I mean on-track and simulcast, was about \$1 million a day, which is pretty good.

But then it dropped so that it got down to 700,000 or 800,000 and Ian Stewart, the then-president, came to me and said let's see if we can increase our handle by lowering the takeout. The 18 percent takeout meant that that came to the racetrack, which then by statute distributed to the taxing authority, the horsemen's purse account, the Breeders Fund, et cetera.

So Jim Weinberg and I, you may remember Jim represented the Colonial Downs ownership at that time, drafted legislation and then the General Assembly saw fit to enact it so that the statute

now has no takeout stated in it; rather, it says the following.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The licensee, meaning the racetrack, shall retain a percentage amount approved by the Commission as jointly requested by a recognized majority horsemen's group, the HBPA, and a licensee, Colonial Downs, and the legitimate breakouts of which.

And then it goes to say and here's how the track retains that breakage, excuse me, that takeout is distributed. It goes through the taxing authority, et cetera.

So we now are probably, well, less than four weeks, about four weeks before the beginning of a meet and there's no stated takeout for the wagering that's going to be conducted. It's probably my fault. I should have flagged this earlier on for Colonial Downs, but I didn't.

So now we are in the position of having to request that the Commission approve a breakage amount, but what we would like to do in a joint request, and for this purpose, Colonial has authorized me to speak on their behalf, is to change the historic eighteen twenty-two to 16 percent for win, place, and show, lowering it;

20 percent for exotics and then for the exotic of the exotics, the Pick 5, we want to reduce that to 12 percent, the thought being if you reduce the price, you're going to sell more product.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's kind of the trend that we're seeing throughout the country. So that's what we would like to do.

I recognize that this has not been formally raised before the Commission, but because of the passage of time and nearness of the meet, we're going to have to move somehow or other quickly on this so we have a takeout that is set, and what we're suggesting is the takeout that we, the racetrack and the horsemen, would like is 16 percent and 20 percent.

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: This has all been agreed to among you?

MR. PETRAMALO: Yes.

DR. WOOGEN: If I might ask, what happens to the breakage in the offtrack betting parlors, particularly money bet on harness racing under this agreement?

MR. PETRAMALO: Its got nothing to do with harness racing.

MR. LERMOND: It has nothing to do with this

conversation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. WOOGEN: So the harness racing percentages remain the same?

MR. LERMOND: The harness receives 25 percent of the breakage.

DR. WOOGEN: Twenty-five percent of the breakage.

MR. LERMOND: Because 25 percent of the money at the OTB is bet on harness.

DR. WOOGEN: But the money that would be -the money that would be taken -- the win by the owner of the OTB, which is now Colonial Downs, will go down on the bets if the same dollars are bet if we reduce the takeout.

MR. PETRAMALO: This is just for Thoroughbred handle, not Standardbred.

DR. WOOGEN: I'm asking you to clarify if that's the case in the offtrack betting parlors.

MR. PETRAMALO: That's clearly the case. It's set forth in the statute.

DR. WOOGEN: Thank you for clarifying.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: So what we have here then is, to be clear, a request of the Virginia HBPA for the reduction in takeout as you've just specified, and I take it that that request also is

1 agreed to by Colonial Downs Group; is that 2 correct? 3 MR. MARSHALL: It is correct. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay. Thank you. 4 5 MR. LERMOND: Frank, did you mention we will carve out something other than 20 percent for Pick 6 7 5? 8 MR. PETRAMALO: I thought I mentioned that. Ι 9 said Pick 5 was 12 percent. MR. LERMOND: Exotics are all in the same 10 bucket, so you definitely can't do that. There's 11 12 no paragraph that says Pick 5. It says wagers 13 other than win, place, show. They all fall into 14 that same bucket. 15 MR. PETRAMALO: I'm not sure --MR. LERMOND: That's the least of the problem 16 17 right now. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Let's -- I think a couple 18 19 of points, then, just to keep it simple, at least 20 for the time being. Number one, we have a joint 21 request in front of us which was not on the 22 agenda, so an eleventh hour, if you will, request, 23 to reduce takeouts as Mr. Petramalo has just specified. 24 25 From where I sit, I think I hear you. Looking

at the rest of the market, I know you want to be competitive, so this move would be to deliver a competitive product, wagering product, to the marketplace when you open on August 8th; I'm sympathetic to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before we get into any deeper the substance of that request, let's talk a little bit about procedure. So my question for counsel, Mr. Drewry, if you would, is number one, can we take action today if we wish to do so without having distributed this publically on our agenda in advance of the meeting; and then number two, can I ask you to comment on the law, vis-a-vis, Mr. Petramalo's proposal?

MR. DREWRY: Yes, sir. To the first one, it goes into FOYA. It's always about providing notice in an attempt to be heard for everything. There's super technical ways to get around that, but rules I think which require something probably more technical than I am familiar with.

So in terms of that, it's always easier to provide notice at least in advance so it's on the agenda for public comment.

I don't think it particularly matters for the answer to number two. I would agree with Mr.

Petramalo that there is nothing completely stated for takeout. It's the historic amount of 18 percent, and then anything outside of 18 percent is reduced in that manner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The issue with some of the language that I have seen is that when you follow the statute for any number other than 18, the historic amount, that's a surplus of money, and surplus may not be the correct word, that results in money being owed or too much money being owed and not enough money coming into the pari-mutuel accounts.

So I think I use 15 percent, reducing the takeout from 18 to 15, and when you follow that linear progression of reducing it to 15, D1, 2 and 3 would result in a reduction to 6.67 percent, 6.25 percent and .83 percent.

The state's always going to get one and a quarter and then the three, Virginia Tech, the Horse Center, the industry board, are all going to get .25 percent always, regardless of what the takeout is going to be.

22 So you have a 1.5 and then you add that to 23 other ones and you get 15.25 percent so that 24 percentage, regardless of the takeout as long as 25 it's not 18 is going to result in more money being

statutorily owed to these groups than is available.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PETRAMALO: The response is quite simple. The racetrack has all the money. They retain it. They pay the taxes, they pay the reduced amount to the Breeders Fund, they pay the reduced amount to my horsemen's purse account, leaving them with the remainder, and if they're less than -- if they have less than what they might ordinarily get, well, that's their problem. The racetrack is, quote, taking a haircut.

So instead of getting seven percent, they might get 6.9 percent, but the racetrack is the one that's here with us requesting that this action be taken. So if there's going to be a shortfall, they're fully aware of it and they're willing to suffer it.

Because if you read the statute the way that you have been and the way that Dave has been reading it, the statute would be meaningless because there is always going to be a shortfall if you have anything less than 18. If that's the case, why would the statute read you have the discretion to have less than 18?

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Another question, too,

1 given your comments. So the burden would be born 2 by the racetrack, the horsemen and the Breeders 3 Fund? That's correct. 4 MR. PETRAMALO: 5 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Proportionally. We heard from horsemen, we heard from racetrack management. 6 7 We haven't heard from the Breeder Fund yet as to whether they would approve and support the 8 9 request. 10 MS. EASTER: We do. We support that. I've 11 always been intrigued with moving that number. 12 Not only because of current market, but you read 13 all the time about our most important people, the 14 customers. 15 The people that wager, that talk about how we 16 can't compete with other forms of gaming and I 17 always wanted to see if that was something we could do if the VEA continued with racing. 18 19 It may not work in a short meet, but it's 20 worth a try and we're able to do as we've always said in these meetings before a little creative 21 22 stuff and do something that sees if it works for

the industry.

23

24

25

For the Breeders Fund, worst case scenario, it's 100 or 200 bucks a day, but if it increases

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

handle, it might be a positive so.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you.

MR. LERMOND: My observation is that Paragraph D, Items One through Seven, if you add those percentages up, it comes out to 18 percent. So I would argue that the 18 percent is in there.

MR. DREWERY: So the 18 percent is in there, but the request isn't completely stated as Frank had said earlier. The amounts equal 18 percent as written. The request has to come from the two groups, which they have and it is at the 16 and the 20.

The request -- I mean, yes, there's a request, but each of these seven groups are entitled to that money and at 18 percent, it's easily identifiable that's the money they're definitely entitled to.

When you reduce it to 16 and 20, there is money that is born -- that somebody has to take a haircut from. For me, they could say that they would take a haircut, but they're statutorily still obligated to that money and the money is not there.

MR. PETRAMALO: Carry it forward what you're saying. We could -- Colonial could pay us the

reduced amount consistent with the statute, pay the Breeders Fund the reduced amount, pay everybody else 100 percent because that's the taxing amount including the other alphabet groups is not changing -- they're all getting 100 cents on the dollar. The only one not getting 100 cents on the dollar is Colonial Downs. They're taking the haircut.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LERMOND: The Breeders Fund takes a haircut and reduced takeout is supposed to increase out-of-state handle, but the Breeders Fund doesn't receive anything from the out-of-state handling.

MR. PETRAMALO: Well, if you have a problem with that, you have to address the legislature. I'm just repeating what the law says.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Assuming that the objective exercise is to deliver an approval to Colonial Downs allowing them to go to market with a competitive wagering product, which I think we want to do, how do we skin this cat?

Can we take it up today in the absence of a prior advisory to the public and a lack of the issue on our formal agenda? Barring that, if that's not good politics, then I think we need to 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

have a little more conversation on all of this.

But if the Commission consensus is to approve this measure, then can we consent, defer action, give approval to our executive secretary, allow time for some further consideration on how to button this up so that it works and we're not vulnerable in some unexpected way and move on with it?

MR. DREWERY: I struggle with the ability to approve something without the public notice and it's not on the agenda without the technical things or amendments to the agenda. It's not that you necessarily can't do it.

In terms of skinning the cat, we can certainly give it to Dave, the authority to enter it. There has to be some action taken on the takeout based on almost the way that Mr. Petramalo has recognized the -- because there is no set standard. It has to be requested, but approved by the Commission.

21 So the Commission can always say no to 17 22 percent, 16 percent or if they can't even say 18 23 percent, if the Commission said no, I think the 24 Commission can still say no to 18 and get the 16 25 if that was the policy decision.

1 Again, I mean it's tough with the late notice 2 for all of the above, particularly with the amount 3 of money. I get Mr. Petramalo's point on following the linear progression, but if 4 5 Colonial -- for me, absent some agreement other than -- right now just the word of mouth. 6 I mean 7 I trust Colonial, but they can still come and say we're owed the six-and-a-quarter percent and it's 8 9 just money that's just not there. I haven't been 10 able to look further given the late notice. 11 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: Two questions. 12 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes, sir. 13 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: If we don't take any action today and August 8th comes around and 14 15 nobody has taken any action, what happens? Is 16 there no takeout? 17 MR. PETRAMALO: There's no provision for 18 takeout. 19 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: From a practical 21 standpoint, if we don't take action today, we're 22 going to take it sometime. As time moves on and 23 over the next four weeks, say that we have a 24 one-item meeting requiring three commissioners at 25 least, which I think we can do.

Dave, I know you're busy, but I think we can probably put that together and have a pretty rapid meeting once the facts are in front of us and we've got a game plan that's a little more thoroughly thought out than what we have in front of us this morning, I think we maybe can move ahead in that matter.

Counselor, does that sound --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DREWERY: I think that sounds reasonable, and with the notice provisions for emergency meetings, you can skirt it a little bit and we can work on dealing with that.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I'll ask Mr. Marshall from your standpoint where do you get -- I'm assuming youwant as much time as you can get to put these rates out and let the market know what your product will cost. What's sort of the ultimate deadline for you?

MR. MARSHALL: Ideally, we would like to have a minimum of two weeks prior to August 8th.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: All right. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Lermond, how does that sound to you? Can we put together a one-agenda item meeting? I know that we've got commissioners who will make themselves available and can we deal with this

1 over the next two weeks and set a meeting and get 2 this done? 3 MR. LERMOND: what happened to the thought about that I could approve it without the 4 Commission? If you're comfortable with the 5 6 language. 7 MR. DREWRY: It's almost still taking that action. You could do that. 8 9 MR. LERMOND: If they give me the approval 10 today to do that. 11 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Does that take care of your concerns with regard to public notice? 12 13 MR. DREWRY: Not particularly. Yes and no. 14 You're delegating authority. You can always 15 delegate the authority. It depends on how you all 16 want to view, but the public didn't get a chance to talk about it. 17 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr. Chairman. 18 19 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes, sir. 20 COMMISSIONER MILLER: We can talk about this 21 forever. My experience has always been do the 22 most open, the most transparent thing, the best 23 thing for the public in general. 24 Now, if it's no great difficulty to get three 25 commissioners together, let's forget about trying

1 to do it today. Put the public notice out 2 there --3 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: I agree. COMMISSIONER MILLER: -- and go ahead with a 4 meeting of three commissioners that can get 5 together even next week or whenever you do it. as 6 7 long as Mr. Marshall says all he needs is two weeks. So when you have the choice of doing one 8 9 thing or the other, do the safest. 10 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: Always. 11 COMMISSIONER MILLER: That's always been my experience at least. 12 13 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Sounds like good advice to me. All right. Why don't we do that. Let me 14 15 ask my fellow commissioners if that meets with 16 their approval. 17 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: I couldn't agree more. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay. Then Mr. Lermond, 18 19 if we could set that in motion. Let's find a time and at least three of us to get together, and 20 21 meanwhile --22 MR. LERMOND: Can we try to do that right now? The stable area opens on the 25^{th} . The 22^{nd} or 23 23rd. Would that work for three of you? July 24 22nd or 23rd. 25

1 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: I take it in person; no 2 telephone? 3 MR. DREWERY: Correct. The 22nd is possible. COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: 4 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: The 22nd of this month. 5 COMMISSIONER NIXON: I'm fine with either of 6 7 those days. 8 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I'm good those days, too. 9 The 22nd. MR. DREWERY: I can do it early in the 10 11 morning. COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: So early morning means 12 13 what time? Five o'clock. 14 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: 15 MR. DREWERY: At 8:30 or nine. 16 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Suits me. 17 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr. Chairman, can I make one other suggestion? 18 19 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Of course. Thank you. COMMISSIONER MILLER: I don't think -- I hate 20 21 to refer to people as an ordinary person. Let me 22 say I'm an ordinary person when it comes to stuff like this. It's just complicated. 23 I would hope that someone could put down on 24 25 paper explaining exactly what it is that the

Commission is being asked to do with the figures, you know, where the money comes from and where the money goes, what we're obligated to do and who might be left holding the bag.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

63

It has been -- I'm sure that Frank understands it. Mr. Marshall understands it. From your background, I know you understand it. I don't completely understand it. I'll admit it.

So I think when you say give public notice, give the public an opportunity, a lot of entities do that and they put out a public notice and the public gets this notice and they don't know what it is. So if you could make it as understandable as possible through your public notice about what the Commission is being asked to do, I just would request that.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I wonder if it would be a good idea to be as specific as to indicate that the request is on the table for consideration to reduce the Thoroughbred racing takeout for the upcoming meeting from the previous norms to the following levels. That's really what we're doing. That will mean what it needs to mean to all of the stakeholders and most of our customers.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: And make it clear to

1 these entities they are covered in the statute. 2 They are going to get their money no matter what, 3 but make it clear that that is understood by the public and by those entities. 4 MR. LERMOND: I think we should have a written 5 request from the horsemen and the track and all of 6 7 those details would be included in that request. MR. PETRAMALO: I agree. I agree with that. 8 9 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: So I would formally ask then that Colonial Downs and the HBPA jointly 10 submit such request to Mr. Lermond ASAP. 11 12 MR. PETRAMALO: Yes. We can do that. 13 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: At nine a.m. we're going to meet on the 22nd? Is that what you're 15 16 requesting? CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Nine a.m. on the 22nd. 17 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: We meet here? 18 19 MR. DREWERY: That can be part of the notice. 20 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Robert, does 8:30 work 21 better for you? Nine is 22 MR. DREWERY: We can do nine. probably more convenient for everybody else. 23 The notice -- we can pick out a location and date with 24 25 a notice to the public.

1 COMMISSIONER MILLER: What amount of public 2 notice needs to be given? 3 MR. DREWRY: At least a week. MR. LERMOND: Is that working days? 4 5 MR. DREWERY: Yes. No. Seven calendar days. 6 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: So we get that out. 7 MR. DREWERY: Next week. 8 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: You have plenty of time. 9 Are we going to meet here or is there some other 10 place? MR. LERMOND: Kimberly will have to check and 11 see if it's available. 12 13 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: If it's a public 14 meeting, you have to have a place the public can 15 be. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: We'll wait to hear from 16 17 Mr. Lermond on that. COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Will you tell us where 18 it is? 19 20 MR. LERMOND: Yes. Maybe the third floor of 21 Colonial Downs. 22 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: That's a long drive for a five minute meeting. 23 MR. LERMOND: We'll try to have it here. 24 COMMISSIONER MILLER: It could be more time. 25

You never know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay. We look forward to the details and the proposal and look forward to being there. Mr. Petramalo, do you have any other curve balls?

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Or fast balls.

MR. PETRAMALO: No, Mr. Chairman. That's it. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you very much. MR. PETRAMALO: Thank you for your attention. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: All right. Next up is a request to consider approval of the 2019 limited license application which has been submitted by the Shenandoah County Agricultural Foundation on behalf of Shenandoah Downs.

Mr. Lermond, are you going to handle the duties on that one as well? There is a staff report for each of the commissioners under Tab Seven in your books.

MR. LERMOND: Mr. Chairman, I'll be brief. As in the past, the application submitted by the VEA was accurate and complete and well organized. Staff had no issues with any of its contents.

The one thing I wanted to give a little bit of a thank you to was Tom Eshelman in conjunction with Jeb.

Last year, we decided that our test barn was not in the best area; it needed to be more isolated. We had a spot picked out, but we needed a little building for them to spin the blood and separate the samples and all the things that those ladies do down there in the test barn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thanks to some wheeling and dealing on Mr. Eshelman's part with one of the vendors of the fair, they provided us with a little outbuilding. I think the ladies refer to it as the Sugar Shack. I'm not exactly sure where that came, but I just want to say that we very much appreciate the Sugar Shack and it worked out very well down there.

We were much more isolated. If we are ever to have a positive test and somebody were to appeal it, it's good to show that that area is separated, segregated and secure and I think we accomplished that and I just wanted to thank Tom and the VEA for that.

As always, at the end of the staff report, I do have the same two conditions that we always put on any license that we award, so I would recommend approval of this application with the two recommendations on Page Five of the staff report. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Lermond. As usual, I'm going to read for the record the recommendations. The conditions that you have requested are at the end of your report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number one, the horse racing with pari-mutuel wagering shall be operated in accordance with all representation, plans and specifications as submitted in the application and as described at the Virginia Racing Commission's July 10th meeting, and the license shall not deviate materially from these representations, plans and specifications without prior consent of the Commission or its executive secretary.

Condition number two. For any motion made this morning will be that the horse racing with pari-mutuel wagering shall be operated in accordance with all applicable state and federal statutes and regulations, the regulations of the Commission and all local ordinances.

So we'll include those in any motions made.

Are there any questions about this application any commissioner would like to pose or comments you'd like to make? If not, then we need a motion to approve, including those two conditions as recommended in the staff report.

COMMISSIONER NIXON: I have a motion.

1 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. We have a 2 motion. 3 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Second. Thank you very 5 much. Any further discussion? If not, please signify your approval by saying aye. 6 7 NOTE: The Commission votes aye. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any opposed? Motion 8 9 passes unanimously. The application is approved. That takes us to the list of racing officials 10 11 which is under Tab Eight. We need a motion to 12 approve. 13 Mr. Lermond, before that, any comments on this 14 list? 15 MR. LERMOND: Just very briefly. Most of the names on this list are all returning individuals 16 from prior years. The Commission has no issues 17 18 with any of the people listed, and again, I would mention that the Commission's three judges are 19 also on this list. 20 21 Jack Remy, presiding; Rick Bonne-kemp [ph] for 22 associate and James Mitchell, associate. A]] 23 three of those judges have worked for us in the past and are excellent racing officials. 24 Ι recommend approval of this list. 25

1 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you very much. 2 I'll move approval of the list as submitted. 3 COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: Second. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. Any questions 4 5 about it? If not, please signify your approval by 6 saying aye. 7 NOTE: The Commission votes aye. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any opposed? Thank you 8 9 very much. The list of officials is approved as submitted. 10 11 Next under Tab Nine, we have the request for approval of the VHHA benevolence budget for the 12 13 current year and I believe Ms. Warnick is going to 14 make that report. 15 MS. WARNICK: Good afternoon. We are 16 requesting 1750 for meal tickets and meals for 17 people at the race meet, which is what we've done 18 in previous years. 19 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Pretty straight forward. 20 Any questions for Ms. Warnick on their request? 21 COMMISSIONER NIXON: I have a quick question. 22 I've never been before, which I'm definitely 23 planning on doing this year. Do you have a track kitchen or how does that work? 24 MS. WARNICK: No. It's an outside vendor that 25

1 sets up. He has a booth. He sells to the public 2 also and he accepts our meal tickets and we 3 reimburse him for meal tickets. 4 COMMISSIONER NIXON: Okay. Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thanks. Any other questions? Okay. We need a motion to approve. 6 7 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: So move. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I'll second it. Please, 8 9 any other questions or any further discussion? If 10 not, please signify your approval by voting aye. The Commission votes aye. 11 NOTE: 12 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any opposed? Thank you 13 very much. The request is approved unanimously. 14 Next, we have Dr. Woogen, who has offered to 15 update us on the status of the Virginia harness 16 racing. So Dr. Woogen, welcome. 17 DR. WOOGEN: Thank you for having me, and I'd like to just start by introducing myself to the 18 newest members on the panel here. 19 I'm a 20 gastroenterologist locally. I've been a director 21 for over 25 years of the Virginia Harness Horse 22 Association; that's consecutive, and the last four 23 years, I've been president of the organization. I'm a horse owner, a horse breeder and a 24 driver, an amateur driver. I don't have any 25

pretense of being a professional. I did win the last race ever at Colonial Downs. I was the driver and owner of that horse. Horse named (inaudible).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have been asked for the last year -- I haven't been to many meetings. I've been asked through the VEA to do certain tasks and keep quiet and I have respected that, but we reached a point now where I have to give this Commission an update of where we stand in harness racing in the state, so thank you for the time to come.

I will discuss four issues. The first is our relationship and issues with the VEA; the second, our relationship and issues with Colonial Downs; the third, a request that you have on the agenda to discuss race dates and the discussion of our home, both present and potentially future.

So to begin -- and I'm a physician, so I can't read my writing so you'll have to forgive me if I fumble a little bit.

The VEA created by statute was tasked with rebuilding all aspects of horse racing and breeding in the state and I suspect that included the harness horsemen.

They were allowed to keep the share of the

advanced deposit wagering to have funds, they were allowed to open and operate OTBs which they did successfully and the funds were used in certain manner to take us off life support, if you will.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They were used to pay the cost of running the Gold Cup races, which was an excellent endeavor. They were used to finance our new track and improvements to the tune over the last four to five years of about \$1 million. They were used to administer our meets and to pay our rent to the Shenandoah County fairgrounds.

The Thoroughbreds spent three years looking for a new track, unfortunately unsuccessfully, and there was some money spent there as well; hundreds of thousands of dollars.

we identified the facility, the Chesterfield County fairgrounds fairly early. It's a 100-year-old track. It was a fair track. It was badly in need of renovation and through hard work of our board, particularly Tom Eshelman of the Shenandoah County fair board, in identifying a great team of builders, the Coon brothers, who are the preeminent builders of harness tracks and I believe of some of the Thoroughbred tracks in the country, were able to accomplish it in eight 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

months and we haven't missed a beat.

Colonial Downs closed it's doors completely in 2014. We continue to race year after year, unfortunately from an abbreviated schedule due to a lack of funds.

We supported each other's efforts. In the beginning, it was a great experience. The VEA, they supported harness racing endeavors, we supported Thoroughbred and we all supported the Gold Cup steeplechase. Funds were available, as I said, and our facility got up and running.

What we found out later on, this is a little disturbing, is the VEA Thoroughbred members had negotiations or at least meetings they described with Colonial Downs many months before I was informed as a VEA board member of the existence of two potential buyers of the Colonial Downs facility.

What was extremely disturbing was that we were also told confidentially that those discussions included an agreement between the Thoroughbreds and Colonial Downs not to have harness racing again at that facility.

Let me give you some background. Mr. Lermond provided me with the information going forward from 2011 which he could identify to the closing of Colonial Downs to give you an idea how we stacked up with Thoroughbreds at Colonial Downs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We raced 102 race days over those last few years and the Thoroughbreds raced 86. We raced a total of 1,087 races and the Thoroughbreds a total of 815, and we provided about 23.4 percent of the total purse money in the state distributed over that period of time.

We're now currently about 25 percent of the money bet by Virginians at the offtrack betting parlor, to kind of give you an idea of how we are thought of in the state. I think we're a minority. We understand that, but we don't think we are inconsequential.

So Colonial Downs comes in, we understand there's going to be historic racing machines at the new revenue stream. We're all excited.

We sign a contract. I was a little reluctant to sign a contract with the new Colonial Downs Group because I didn't have a guarantee of a percentage of that new revenue stream, the HHR revenue which would be substantial.

I was told by the Thoroughbred members of the VEA that we would negotiate over the ensuing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

months and come up with an agreement.

The reason I'm here today is those negotiations have gone nowhere. The first premiss of the negotiation for us, the most important premiss, is that we're entitled to a percentage of any funds generated by this new revenue stream.

As every other state operates, whatever money comes in is distributed and you live within your means and you operate the number of race days of your purse structure based on that money.

The percentages are absolutely open to interpretation and negotiation. We were more than willing to do that. Unfortunately, we haven't even been able to get the VEA membership to agree that we're entitled to a percentage, and here are some of the answers we've been getting.

We were told that the money is Thoroughbred money; that it's based on bets, not historic Thoroughbred races and the Thoroughbreds are entitled to it and whatever we need we will get by the benevolence of the Thoroughbred parts of the organization.

Secondly, we've been told that we can't negotiate a percentage until we have an idea of the amount of money coming in. This can only mean that the Thoroughbreds feel the need to understand they can meet their needs before they'll grant us anything. The reason for that is twofold.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Virginia Thoroughbred Association has set up a certified program, which while the basis of which is sound and wonderful and very important, the liability is open ended.

Any horse that's raised in this state, if it's brought in is ineligible for bonus money throughout it's entire racing career through races run in the Mid-Atlantic region. There is no cap on that current plan for any awards other than a cap per race.

So that has been an estimated liability of \$3 million. That was the Virginia Thoroughbred Association's liability. But under recent negotiation with the VEA, the VEA has been asked to take over this responsibility, including the liability which is open ended.

But the problem with the Thoroughbred group -and we're one quarter of the voting membership -they feel it is not reasonable. I think as Mr. Miller states, you try to be open, but you try to do the things that make the most sense. And based on that, we didn't think we should take on that liability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other issue is that the Thoroughbred group in their contract has agreed next year to 30 days of racing, and under the state law, if 3,000 machines are operating, they're liable. Colonial Downs is liable to race the 30 days.

Based on that and based on horse population, we've been told at the VEA that the Thoroughbred group needs \$500,000 a day or \$15 million in order to fulfill their obligation next year.

Based on that, that's potentially \$18 million of obligations, not to mention the Gold Cup obligations and the obligations to field our meet, which is \$300,000 or \$400,000 of obligations to us, a tremendous minority sense of obligation by the VEA. So that's where we are.

It became difficult for me to not feel personally offended in these negotiations. I tend to be a passionate person, so I brought in a professional and I have sitting next to me, Joe Feraldo, who has negotiated almost all deals with horsemen and racinos in New York State for over 30 years.

He negotiated a deal at Yonkers Raceway. They have 5300 slot machines. He's negotiated a deal

where the horsemen get \$15 million in purse money based on those 5300 machines. He's an expert negotiator, knows the industry inside and out and between myself and himself, we've been involved in the industry for 30 to 40 years. We thought we had a pretty good handle on what was reasonable and what was needed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, I stepped back and let Mr. Feraldo negotiate for months and we still can't get a commitment that regardless of the funds that come in to your organization, we're entitled to a percentage of it.

What does that mean for us trying to grow? How can I tell the horsemen coming in to the state to race year after year that I'll have money in 2021 or 2022 or 2023? Under the current system, I have to apply for a grant every year. I have no guarantee of money. No guarantee of a percentage of that HHR revenue. I think that's untenable.

Also, besides the HHR money in that fashion, how do I grow an industry without a guarantee? How am I supposed to say my industry is unrestrained by any other part of the industry?

So my complaint is this restrains my trade. It restrains my ability to grow the industry and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is patently unfair.

Now, every other source of revenue that's distributed to horsemen's groups, the VTA, the Thoroughbred groups and my group is regulated by statute.

Mr. Lermond was right on key when he stated that we should get percentages worked out in the statute that was developed when the VEA was created.

That didn't occur, and as such, there are three Thoroughbred groups and one harness group and we feel we're at a disadvantage having to rely on the goodness of the heart and the treatment of the harness group by the Thoroughbred group. Again, we can't even agree that we're entitled to any percentage of revenue. So that's where we stand with the VEA.

With Colonial Downs, we heard months ago, many months before Colonial Downs bought the track, that they had no plans to race harness racing. I was told after talking to Colonial Downs representatives that we would negotiate for future race meets. Once these HHR facilities were open, we could sit down in June of this year and we did negotiate. well, that became an impossibility according to Colonial Downs. They finally admitted they had no plans to race harness horses, either now or in the future and they gave the reason, the primary reason, as it would cost \$1 million to convert the track from Thoroughbred to harness, the dirt track.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've been doing that for 20 years for \$80,000 a year. Now we were told there's a difference in the composite of the surface and that makes a difference in price. I've offered to bring in the Coon brothers, again, preeminent track builders, to give an opinion and there was no interest.

So at this point, there's absolutely no interest to race us. There has been no discussion of giving us one cent from Colonial Downs' coffers, and mind you that they now own the offtrack betting parlors and for every dollar bet on harness racing, they get five cents. That five cents used to be used by the old Colonial Downs to help put on our meet. That money is now going to Colonial Downs' pocket.

So the other issue I have with Colonial Downs, when we negotiated as the VEA with Colonial Downs, they presented a business plan. The business plan

stated that they would have these HHR machines only at Colonial Downs, and as such, the business model didn't look very attractive. They stated they needed tremendous resources, tremendous marketing effort to get people to the slots, or excuse me, the HHR machines.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When the law was then proposed and voted on, the model was completely different. The model has offtrack betting parlors allowed to host these machines all over the state, up to ten facilities and up to 3000 machines.

As I mentioned, 5300 machines garners \$50 million in New York to the horsemen there. My estimate, and again it's only my estimate, is that we're looking at \$10 million to \$12 million the first year we get full revenue from their facilities. A far cry from what's going on as the industry standard.

So I ask the VEA if it would be reasonable to renegotiate with Colonial Downs because the entire premiss of negotiation is based on a business model that doesn't exist.

It was a single or a double before. Now it's a grand slam home run for Colonial Downs. And for curious reasons that I can't understand, the

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thoroughbred owners or Thoroughbred interest in the VEA declined after renegotiation.

So we have about a \$12 million projection on my part, and I could be wrong, of income. We have about 18, 19, \$20 million of obligation or hoped-for obligation by the Thoroughbred members of the group before they can even consider giving us any money. I can't see where any significant funds are going to come from to grow my industry.

We would love to grow the breeding industry in the state. We would love to have a similar -and we've created it, but we don't have enough funding for it yet -- a similar certified program so we can bring horses into the state and race them here and give people incentive for doing that.

The agricultural benefit to the state from that program is the same if it's a Standardbred horse or a Thoroughbred horse and I think the state is owed that in their support of Colonial Downs' efforts and HHR machines. They're both our ability to rebuild a breeding industry and a residency program industry in the state. As things stand now, that's not happening. So that's where we stand with Colonial Downs. The third issue is race dates. So you want to talk about piling on a little bit, we've been asked to move our race dates by this Commission to the spring. We go year-to-year. We gather funds for money, bet, buy people in Virginia on harness races and by the fall we have enough money to run a ten day meet. If we race these ten days of pari-mutuel betting, we have zero money in our coffers at the end. It is absolutely impossible for us to race in the spring just based on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

More importantly, we've spent the last four years racing in the fall, building relationships with outside trainers who bring their horses from outside racetracks. We have some circuits. We're a nomad of people in harness racing.

One circuit is Cal Expo in California. In the winter, they go to Running Aces in the summer in Minnesota and they bring their horses to Shenandoah Downs to race in the fall and then they go back to California.

Another circuit is Florida. Pompano Park brings their horses to Tioga Downs in upstate New York for the summer. Then they race with us in the fall, then they go back to Florida. We have the Pennsylvania fair circuit, a

tremendous number of fair tracks and fair races. They end when we begin and we have access to young horses that want to continue to race.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So without any of that -- and the final piece is our two-year-olds. We race our two-year-old stakes races and try to create a breeding program for two-year-olds. We can't race them in the spring. We've been told maybe you can race them during the fair at a non-pari-mutuel setting. We don't think that's reasonable.

So having said that, I see absolutely no way that we can continue as an industry to have any kind of successful meet by changing our race dates at this point from the fall to the spring.

Now we're not unreasonable people. The fall is more than five weeks and we could work with Colonial Downs and the Thoroughbred people not to overlap if they're willing to negotiate, but the premiss has to be that we are entitled to those fall days and we will negotiate flexibility based on that.

Final topic. Our home is now the Shenandoah County fair. They have been extraordinarily helpful. They have been extraordinarily positive and the town of Woodstock has been great, but

there are some issues. We don't own the facility. We have a five year lease. We're on lease year four. We have renewal clauses, but basically, we have a five year lease.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We had a board member who was on our board who passed away suddenly that was on the board of the Shenandoah County fair and we negotiate with other members of the Shenandoah County fair board. They have a large board. They have a lot of members.

We have been told over and over again there's a lot of people who do not favor harness racing who are part of that fair board. We've also been told when asked for improvements that no funds are really available for improvements because of financial constraints of the fair.

Bottom line, they've managed somehow to stay open, but they're in some financial difficulty, to my understanding.

They have a very valuable piece of property on Interstate 81. It's not inconceivable that in the years to come they'll sell that facility and we'll have nowhere to race. We don't have any ownership or any way to prevent that.

So based on that and based on our needs and the hope we're going to grow, we identify or

actually Spotsylvania County has come to us and they said they'd love to build a harness track off of Interstate 95.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know if you've seen where they built a NASCAR-type track right on the interstate. They have other tracks and land. They'd love for us to build a harness track.

I initially went to Colonial Downs because if the referendum could be approved in that county, they can build a track for us and they can race us there and they can have one of their OTB, HHR machine followers and the population of 131,000 people in that county, they could have the maximum 700 machines.

I've been waiting for a formal response. I don't think I'll get one. I told them this meeting was coming, I was going to talk and I was going to mention these things.

So I think the one thing I heard at our initial meeting with them is they would love to spend some money and build us a facility if the referendum passed, but there was no commitment to fund a referendum. Our organization can't fund a half a million dollar referendum in order to ensure that this happens.

So again, it just piles on, the lack of commitment to harness racing by every segment of the state and the industry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what is the solution? We would hope the Racing Commission would support the need for a percentage of these funds, HHR funds, potentially casino funds in the future and sports betting funds in the future. That we're entitled as a smaller group.

But as I've showed you, a very important part of the race population of the state. I would hope you would support that, in some way help us attain that. The best way to do it would be to put it in the statute. Now, we certainly will be talking to the legislature in the fall and asking for that.

The other thing the Racing Commission can do is encourage the VEA to work with us towards a percentage split. Really, I think the only leverage you might have is next year's budget. You have to approve it. If there is no consideration to harness racing, which you also have a commitment to ensure the liability and growth, I would suggest you consider not approving the budget. I'm willing to answer any questions. Also, I brought our negotiator and our consultant, Mr. Feraldo. If he has any comments, I'd like him to be able to speak.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FERALDO: If I may, if it please the Commission. I was once told that one's remarks should be like a woman's skirt; short enough to hold your interest, yet long enough to cover the subject. So I hope I can talk to that directive.

After Colonial closed, the VEA was created and stood in place instead of the track operator as the recipient of the track's revenue shares from SWFs and ADWs. Accordingly, the VEA was the beneficiary of that share of state-mandated revenue from those sources since there was no longer a racetrack.

Some of the revenue was used to conduct a harness meet at Shenandoah, establish a Thoroughbred certified residency program which is excellent, provide for a very successful steeplechase meet and seek out over the course of the last three years a new operator for Colonial Downs, all in the interest of agriculture, horse racing and breeding for all breeds.

> All those more traditional revenue streams, the SWFs and the ADWs, were as they should be, statutorily mandated. That is until HHR gained

legislative approval in the Commonwealth. Without a legislative mandate, a separate agreement between Revolutionary Racing and the VEA was entered in to, providing for a revenue stream to flow to the VEA from the new HHR machines and from the sale of the VEA's SWFs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, unlike the other statutorily mandated distribution streams to racing, breeding and agriculture, no, I repeat no provision exists in statute, regulation or by our efforts to reach an agreement directing a percentage distribution of that money as currently exists in the statute for the other traditional revenue streams in place.

Again, a percentage of the HHR revenue or from the sale of the SWFs falling to the VEA has not been legislatively mandated and breed specific as are all other racing revenue streams from SWFs, ADWs or ontrack sources.

Negotiations to address this internally within VEA I am sad to report have reached an impasse and the situation cries out for a legislative solution by way of mandated percentages between the breeds. That is important to the Commonwealth of Virginia and its agricultural industry.

The VHHA being a 25 percent partner in the negotiated deal with Revolutionary Racing should have at least that percentage mandated to its breed as reflected in its participation in the VEA as a stakeholder, a 25 percent stakeholder in that entity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The VHHA saw the rationale to address the Thoroughbred needs to effectuate a Thoroughbred renaissance in Virginia, allowing for a rebate off the fixed percentage to the Thoroughbreds' interest from the harness 25 percent to be given in the early years of the anticipated Thoroughbred rebirth.

That concession allows for the start-up of the Thoroughbred industry so it can get on its feet and provides for everyone to live within those percentages regardless of the actual dollars that are generated.

The VHHA has made those concessions within the negotiations as well as recommendations to accommodate its Thoroughbred counterparts in order to stimulate the heretofore dormant Thoroughbred industry.

In short, the VHHA is acting like a good, not a greedy partner. With the impasse that currently exists, the situation requires intervention by the Commonwealth's legislature to mandate a percentage distribution of this new revenue stream to avoid acrimony within the VEA.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Short of that happening, the Racing Commission should not approve a VEA budget that does not provide for a negotiated percentage distribution of those revenue streams between the VEA partners.

One last quote I would like to give you or observation is as Scott said, Dr. Woogen said, it's very difficult to move harness meets. Just about every racetrack in the country is open during the spring. It opens in the spring whether it's Pocono, Chester, Tioga, Vernon. All these racetracks are in competition for horses.

As Dr. Woogen said, we give out \$50 million exclusive of our sire stakes money, which is approximately another \$9 million just at Yonkers raceway. His number of \$50 million is not statewide in New York. That's \$120 million in purse money available for all of the harness tracks. I'm not talking about the Thoroughbred track.

> With that kind of revenue, we are short of horses during this period of time and I don't care

how much money you put on the table, the race office will call me and say, Joe, we're going to raise the purses 20 percent across the board and I say it will not bring us one more horse because the horses just do not exist.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In the fall, as Dr. Woogen said, there is a migration of horses from various racetracks that we can fill fields with at Shenandoah. That's extremely important. And with the revenue that you have, there is a finite number of horses in terms of quality that will come in that migration and so it's very difficult for anybody to suggest that we have a meet head-to-head or that we move our meet to the spring.

Now with the additional HHR revenue that's going to come into the Commonwealth, I suggest that Mr. Lermond get a raise and that he get a sufficient number of additional staff people and equipment to accommodate whatever needs or concerns he has voiced in the letter that I saw written a short while ago.

Those are my only comments. The alternative would be if you're considering moving dates, that Colonial Downs be required to have a harness meet. That does not require the movement of any

machinery or personnel that is valuable to this Commission. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. Thank you both. I would ask my fellow commissioners if they have got questions for either presenter.

I'll wager a couple here just to make sure I'm as clear as I can be. That's a lot of data.

First of all, as a purely personal observation, I'm a bit concerned to hear about a split within the VEA. I've made no secret about -- and I think this Commission feels the same, about how important we believe the VEA has been these last few years to the ability of our industry, the horse racing industry, to enjoy the renaissance it is now beginning to enjoy.

I question the industry's political capability if this fractures. I'm not sure how advisable, and somebody else can comment on this, it would be to go to the legislature with a split front instead of a unified one. I would hope that wouldn't happen.

If we could waive a magic wand and solve all of the problems. I have often said I don't necessarily favor a centralized government until I became a commissioner. Now I want dictatorial powers. I'd solve it for you in a day if I could. You'd probably all be unhappy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would urge you to continue to negotiate in good faith. If you think there's something we can do -- and I believe there's a solution. I don't know what it is sitting here. This is my first exposure to the issue, but I am sure there is a creative solution. If this Commission can help you find that solution, then we're all ears as to how to do that.

Maybe one suggestion, since we're just talking here this morning, is I think this group worked very well together when it came to the development of strategic planning and I think a lot of that success was due to the fact that we went out, we hired a professional facilitator who walked the group through what could have been some fairly thorny issues. Maybe that is something that would be helpful to you.

I would also like to get clear on a couple of the points, Dr. Woogen, that you made. One thing that really does concern me, and it speaks to the potential split within the organization, is you say that there has been no commitment from the VEA that you would get any money or a percentage of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

funds in any way.

I don't want this to turn into a debate. It will not be a tit for tat. I appreciate the input that you have put on the table; a lot of food for thought here.

I do want to ask this direct question and it can be answered either by Debbie Easter or Jeb Hannum. Is there an issue with finding a solution wherein the VHHA would get a percentage of revenues as Dr. Woogen has discussed?

MR. HANNUM: Well, an awful lot was discussed there at the end of the table. I was unaware of the issues that Scott and Joe were going to speak about. I'm a little bit unprepared. I had reached out to Scott when I saw he was on the agenda and asked what he was going to discuss and he did not get back to me.

So I think that there's an awful lot you all have heard and I took notes and I could go through and point out things I disagree with and I think are inaccurate, but I don't think that's a good use of everybody's time.

Let me just say, Mr. Chairman, that of the four groups since the formation of the VEA, the Harness Association has benefited overwhelmingly from the creation of the VEA. I say that sort of on a personal note that at least 50 percent of my time has been spent on the harness program and I --

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I'm sorry to interrupt, but my question was a little more specific than that, and again, I don't want to get into a debate format here.

MR. HANNUM: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I think we can do that later, another place and another time and I am happy to help any way that I can. Is there resistance on the VEA board to ultimately providing a fair percentage of revenue to the VHHA?

MR. HANNUM: So I just feel that the context is important.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay.

MR. HANNUM: Let me just say that Dr. Woogen made a request for there to be a percentage allocation and the VEA was always of the view that we were happy to discuss that, that we had not, we did not know what the HHR revenue was going to look like in Virginia. This was going back, you know, six months and before. We didn't know, you know, what the rollout of the machines would look like. We were going through the regulatory process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So to establish a set percentage before the regs were even finalized struck us as premature. Why not understand what the regulatory environment was going to look like, then look at what the market reaction was going to be? Then as Dr. Woogen noted, there's a year delay before any money comes in to the VEA.

So our point was let's look at how this all plays out. What are the regs going to be. Where are the OTBs going to be. What will be the reaction at the Richmond site and at the track, and then let's see what we all need.

Let's base this on what the need is and what the reality is. So to determine a percentage before we saw even the first nickel struck us as premature.

So the Board position has always been, yes, we're happy to discuss this. We spent an enormous amount of time and great expense over the last two months talking to Scott and Joe, and Joe is, as Dr. Woogen said, he is a very professional person to deal with and we've had a number of good discussions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The VHHA came with a percentage, the 25 percent. We spent I think three meetings in Richmond, which the VEA paid for Mr. Feraldo's time, so I think that's a fair reflection of our commitment to the process and we came back with a counter-proposal.

So I don't think we should talk about the specific percentages right here in this format.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I agree.

MR. HANNUM: But the Harness Association gave us a number and we came back with a second number and they walked away and the numbers weren't that far apart. So I think that's a frustrating path to go down.

So the other point is, and I'm surprised to hear there's a split, because that has never been articulated to the Board. We have a Board meeting tonight where we were actually going to consider those percentages and we were going to have an opportunity to vote on them and we never even had an opportunity to vote on them.

So for us to hear this for the first time in a public format before the Board has even considered it I think is disappointing.

So I think that the VHHA has benefited enormously from the VEA. There's a Board process that we should be working through. If we get to the point where the Board is not able to come up with an agreement that is good for all parties, I would welcome the Commission's input.

We certainly had a very good process with the gentleman that helped us with the strategic report. Maybe we have a third party coming. That's not for me to say. That's for the Board. But we put in a lot of time and effort to come to a percentage allocation agreement and we weren't that far apart and we haven't even considered it as a Board.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Okay. Well, then I'd like to bring this to a close at this point. I understand that you have a Board meeting this evening and simply ask and I hope I represent my fellow commissioners; if not, please let me know, and remand this conversation to your Board meeting this evening with a very strong request from the Commission that you make every effort to continue negotiating in good faith and work out something that will serve both parties.

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I think we're all interested in making sure

that whatever revenues are going to be at our disposal in the future sustain and feed all aspects of this industry.

We want to see harness racing get its fair share and we want to see it have its opportunity for growth right along with Thoroughbreds and the horse industry as a whole.

I wish there was something we could do in this meeting to take action. I don't think we've got letters at our disposal right now, but we're certainly interested in making sure that you do succeed in your convictions, and again, I'll offer our services in any appropriate way that we might render them to make sure that that does happen.

I'll ask my fellow commissioners for further comments.

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: I will comment in concert with the Chairman to say we have an obligation to all aspects of the horse industry in this Commonwealth and it's nice to hear the other side of the story in terms of your willingness to negotiate, but it didn't sound as if from Dr. Woogen's standpoint that the negotiations were ongoing.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think not only should you have a mandate to

do so, but there should be a timetable because this has gone on a long time and if they're going to do planning in any form, I think time would be an important factor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I don't know what time period you'd expect you could complete these negotiations and I think that ought to be set forth.

DR. WOOGEN: We appreciate that and I think it is important. Just real quick. Mr. Hannum started his discussion of whether or not he thought we should be given a percentage by talking again about how much money will be available. That should be irrelevant.

To premiss that, whatever money comes in, our organization is entitled to a percentage. That's all we're asking for. It doesn't matter if \$1 or \$100 million comes in. We all take the fall or we all benefit, but we have to decide what percentage of the industry the horse industry the state harness is entitled to.

I'm very disappointed that he would start the explanation by again saying we need to know what the budget is, we need to know what's available. Because what that is saying is we need to know the Thoroughbreds' needs before we consider the harness racing needs. So we're open to anything that you can help.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you want to bring a facilitator, mediation by this Commission, I would say we had several meetings in the last few months. We have gone around in circles as you have heard today. The same issue.

We're not going to be able to negotiate tonight at the Board meeting. We've identified days and I have brought Mr. Feraldo in to spend days negotiating. An hour or two at the Board is not going to solve our problems.

We would love to solve it, but that's not going to happen. So we would appreciate anything you can do as a Commission to help facilitate a fair agreement. We're all ears.

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: We haven't set our next meeting at this point, other than the one issue meeting coming up, but I think by the time we meet again, this should be an agreed-upon proposal presented to this Commission. Would you agree to that?

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I would.

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: That will be probably in the next sixty to ninety days, and in the meantime, you guys need to figure it out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: I agree with your comments. I certainly support them. I would urge the parties to work it out among yourselves. It was mentioned it could go back to legislature. That would probably be the worst thing you could do in the environment we're in now.

Politically, a lot of folks connected with the legislature are having second thoughts about what they did with historic horse racing, and add to that the pressures they're getting from their constituents regarding other entities that are coming forward with proposals for extended casino gambling in Virginia.

Add to that the general antipathy between the parties in this state, which is not unlike any other state in the Union where it's very difficult for parties to work together.

Add to that the very closeness of the political structure in Virginia where one party by one member may be dominant for the next ten years because of redistricting.

The fight is intense in the legislature. Try

to stay out of the legislative process and show that you meant what you've said when we were going to the legislature for the past several years to try to get racing back on its feet in Virginia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Show them that you mean what you said when you were -- there was all unity, good spirit, we're all going to work together, we're all going to work towards supporting the agricultural industry in Virginia. Just keep those thoughts in mind when you get together.

Just on the face of it as one commissioner, just looking on the face of it, the harness racing segment of the horse racing industry is not insignificant, as Dr. Woogen said.

I think if you go back and look at the history of the wagers on harness racing in Virginia, vis-a-vis Thoroughbred racing since the inception of pari-mutuel wagering in Virginia and see historically what the contribution has been.

Someone did an analysis before when I was on the Commission in one of my earlier iterations, I think. Maybe I'm wrong about that, but it seems to me that someone did an analysis of harness racing's impact overall on the broad spectrum of pari-mutuel wagering in Virginia. Maybe they

1 didn't do it. Maybe they just proposed it. 2 Do you remember, Dave? 3 MR. LERMOND: I think the study you're talking about was overall of the horse industry. 4 Milton 5 Cooper. COMMISSIONER MILLER: All right. Well, 6 7 anyway, my memory isn't what it used to be, but all I'm urging is that you work together, pull 8 9 together, try to keep it out of the legislative 10 forum and show that we are all grown-ups and we 11 all know what the stated goal is and what we have 12 to do to maximize everyone's interest to the 13 greatest degree. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. I will ask 15 Mr. Lermond a question. Dave, if this Commission 16 wanted to be involved to the extent of helping provide mediator services or an arbiter that could 17 provide a professional third party look and 18 19 facilitate the conversation, can we do that? 20 MR. LERMOND: I would first before we talk about whether we could pay for it -- Robert, is 21 22 that something that would be appropriate? MR. DREWERY: I mean I'm sure you could. 23 Your state tax is to further the purposes of the horse 24 25 industry and it's probably a little bit premature

here since it's -- I mean these are two private parties determining -- you approve their budgets and everything, but how individual private parties work out contracts may not be where the Commission steps in as a licensing and regulatory body.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PETRAMALO: Let me add some history that goes counter to what Robert just said. Maybe you can help me on this, Dave.

The VHBPA got into a dispute with Twinspires over ADW revenue, et cetera, and we went to mediation and there were representatives there from the Attorney General's office and we had I think a day or two days of mediation and the mediator was Mark Rock, I believe is his name.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Ruben.

MR. PETRAMALO: Rubin. Sorry. I don't remember how that came about, but I kind of think that the Racing Commission had something to do with it. I don't think we paid for it, but I'm not positive. But again, Robert, to your point, that was a dispute involving a contract between parties.

MR. DREWERY: Two private parties. MR. PETRAMALO: Yeah. COMMISSIONER MILLER: I was on the Commission

at that time and we did participate, but I don't remember what the degree of participation was, but we were instrumental in getting Mark Ruben to be the mediator.

MR. PETRAMALO: He's excellent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LERMOND: We were asked to participate as the Commission, but I don't believe we paid for that.

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: The parties could pay for it. I think issue is -- I know Mark Rubin. He does a great job and he has worked at VCU for a long time. He is doing it independently. He still exists. I don't think his fees are that extraordinary to begin with.

MR. FERALDO: If I might, in New York, we've often had the Commission provide someone to mediate a dispute. It doesn't mean that you lack the jurisdiction. You may very well have the jurisdiction.

There's also the American Arbitration Association that provides mediators who are versed in the industry, but whatever a particular industry is, you get three mediators and they come up with a recommendation and the parties can pay for that. That's cost efficient, even if the 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parties had to agree to pay.

MR. DREWRY: Yeah, and I don't disagree with that. It's more of the -- of course the Commission would be invited to participate in mediation to further the industry of horse racing, but it is premature if based on what we heard now that the VEA hasn't taken a vote on anything when it's this -- at what point does the racing Commission step in?

DR. WOOGEN: Let me make it just clear. The seminal issue is clear. Are we entitled to a percentage year after year after year of these revenue streams and we have not gotten a yes from the participants in the VEA. There's nothing to negotiate if you can't agree on the basic premiss. We've spent eight months going around in circles. We do need your help.

COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: I think Mr. Hannum answered the question that you are entitled. Did I not hear that?

MS. EASTER: I'll tidy that up, if you don't mind, Jeb. I, like Dr. Woogen, am very passionate. You all have probably figured that out after the last year or two about doing what's right for the industry here in Virginia. I think if you all look back at the budget you approved for 2019, you will see that there was a large percentage for harness that you approved in that budget.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think that any of our board members intend not to include a percentage for harness in any future budgets. It's just a matter of how it's splayed. So we have to come to an agreement.

But I want to make that very clear because obviously, you all are hearing one side of the story and there are a bunch of people here, but I don't think there's anybody that intends not to further -- we're all here to try to do the best that we can for the industry and I think our history has shown that in the past.

DR. WOOGEN: What you're hearing from the Thoroughbred people over and over again is yes, we will give you money year after year, but it's going to be based on revenues and means and that's not fair and it's not doable for us.

Even then with her comments we've been fair, we've given you money, there's no commitment. It is a simple question. Do you feel that the VHHA is entitled to a set percentage going forward from 2020 on.

We were willing to rebate back for the first few years a substantial amount of a percentage to get the Thoroughbreds going, but they -- ask them again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They're not going to stand up and say that they're willing to give us a percentage of the revenue year after year. It's not subject to year-to-year grants or negotiations.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Well, this is just an observation. I don't think it's our job nor our desire today to try to solve it, but I imagine that everybody sitting up here at this table expects, and the thought that that might not be the case is a little surprising, that the harness industry will be receiving a fair percentage of revenues generated in the state every year.

Now whether that is a flat percentage, whether it's a formulated approach, I don't think any of us know, but I think fair is the operative word however you define it. We challenge you to go back to the table and figure out what that means.

Doctor, I'll make one other comment, too, I think shifting away from the splits and let's talk about dates for a minute. That was on the agenda. We were requested to have a conversation. I think

it's probably way too premature and given the environment that is being created around the impasse you've reached at this point with your conversation about revenue splits, it probably is baggage we don't need to try to worry about right now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will share with you the topic has come up. As you already know from Dave Lermond, there's concern should we have to do it in no short order about the Commission's ability to properly regulate. I happen to agree that given enough notice with the money in the bank, I don't see any reason why we can't develop capacity to regulate whatever we have to regulate. The more the better, as far as I'm concerned. So if we can grow, we'll grow to regulate it. Not really worried about that.

I do harbor concern about running two short race meets on top of each other. We're just getting started. It doesn't seem to me to make a lot of sense to have conflicting meets. We're trying to build audiences for both. Why would you do it on top of the each other?

You make a point I hadn't heard before about how your racing circuits work and what that means

to you at Shenandoah in terms of the availability of horses in the fall and lack of availability of horses in the spring, which I grant you is obviously an important point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

But I think for now, we're not in possession of an application for dates so we don't have anything to act on.

As we've offered in terms of your splits, we're interested in this issue. We think it's absolutely key. How racing is marketed here has a lot to do with dates applications and ultimately date assignments, so we want to make sure we get it right and we think we should be talking about it well in advance rather than having it land on the desk and having to deal with it at the last minute.

So we're all ears, we want all of the facts and we will try to make the best decision we can for all parties.

DR. WOOGEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you.

Okay. I'm sorry we're going on a little long,
but I hope we'll end on a high note. Jill Byrne
from Colonial Downs Group will brief us on some of
the developments at Colonial Downs and some of the

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

work they've been putting in to make sure we get a first class show beginning August 8th.

MS. BYRNE: Thank you so much for having me here and I think I will follow Mr. Feraldo's lead with Shakespeare instead. Since brevity is the soul of wit, I shall be brief as much as possible as we try to get technology up here.

One thing I have heard nonstop as we try and get technology up here today, is a commitment by everybody in here to the entire industry, and I think that's something that everybody in here shares, is a passion that starts first with the horse, whether you're in harness, whether you're with the VHBPA and the back stretch workers, the VEA, Colonial Downs Group.

Everybody in here shares the same passion and commitment to success of the entire horse industry in the state of Virginia and that is what brought me home after being away for 29 years in the other Commonwealth of Kentucky.

I was given a great opportunity to come back to my home state and help bring this industry back that all of us clearly are passionate about and love. We may have some difference on it, but if we work together, I think the success in Virginia -- we are a good news story this year in an industry that absolutely could use some good news.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with that, there are a lot of challenging times in the industry as a whole right now with recent things that have taken place that involve questions about safety and what we are all doing to commit to the safety of our horses, our jockeys, our horsemen, and ultimately, that is what is going to help this entire industry survive not only nationally, but obviously in the state of Virginia. So I will try to go through very quickly a slide here.

With the commitment of Colonial Downs -- and I look to myself as well. I grew up in Virginia and I have been in every side of this industry. I grew up a racetrack rat in the barn. Mr. Feraldo, I think you know my background; Belmont in the barns. I always said I went to boarding school in New York so I could be close to the racetrack so I could spend my weekends there.

It is an industry that a lot of people, and we look at the people on this list, that Colonial Downs has committed to hire the best to be able to support bringing back Thoroughbred racing to the state and ultimately helping the entire industry by bringing in what are considered to be people at the highest level within this industry. So right there, your commitment just shows the dedication by involving high level people.

As I mentioned before, we're in a very challenging time in racing right now and horse racing in general with its perception in the industry to safety, how we take care of our horses, how we take care of our riders, what are we doing to ensure that.

Colonial Downs has invested a great deal into safety initiatives starting with bringing the track surfaces back to condition. Obviously, everybody knows that the track has been dormant for a while. Beautiful turf course, fantastic dirt course that doesn't get the credit that it should, overshadowed by the Secretariat turf course.

A lot of investment has gone in to bringing these surfaces back to life to make sure that they are absolutely the safest they can be when our horses return to training here July 25th and when racing starts on August 8th.

So this was the first of March. I arrived

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

February 27th and this is what things looked like on the 1st of March. Then we have the all famous controlled burn. I never realized how famous this was until I got calls from all over the country seeing me out there. They say the first thing you do when you go to Colonial Downs, you burn the track down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is to help the turf course come back to life. We invested a great deal of money into an irrigation upgrade. Now you see some of that beautiful green popping in there to make this course as safe as it can be.

We plan to run probably 80 percent of our races on the turf course. Turf racing has not only proven to be very popular for the wagerers, but also popular for the horsemen and also a very safe surface.

We've lost the internet. We'll see if we can get that back up. But in our commitment to that, we also brought in experts to regrade the track surface, the dirt track surface to get it back to where it would need to be for Thoroughbreds, and that was a great deal of investment to do that.

We had to regrade it, bring in new track material and also brought in Nick Peterson. I

think many of you who have been following the news know that Nick Peterson is the expert consult for all track surfaces. We brought him in to do soil samples to study our surface.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He was just here recently with his machinery to test the cushion of the track and we are expecting all of those complete analysis and results by the end of this week, so we'll have that report for you as well.

Additionally to that, we did major upgrades back to the barns because safety starts back there as well with the horses. Barns one through eight we have completely refurbished.

We are also, because of in light of if any of you are following the Delaware situation with the recent outbreak of strangles and a lot of issues with equine infectious diseases, we have designated Barn 14 at the recommendation of Dr. Ada Caruthers to be our barn for isolation.

So should anything occur on the track, we have a separate isolated barn far away from all the other horses where we would then keep those horses until they cleared by veterinarians until they're okay to be back with the population.

Additionally to that, we are having very

stringent regulations in horses that come into our stable area. We have gone to a 72 hour health certificate as opposed to a seven day. We're doing extreme logging with our security of all horses that come in, even to the point of where they are in the van next to each other so we can further isolate if anything should happen there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dr. Caruthers has been a huge help to Colonial Downs in offering up many thoughts on bio security and we're going to be power washing and disinfecting everything since again it has been quiet there for a while.

She has instituted a great deal of regulations. We have completely -- you mentioned earlier about a test barn at Shenandoah Downs. We have completely refurbished the test barn at Colonial Downs as well to make sure that we are providing a great deal of integrity for the perception and the actuality of the racing product that we expect to put out this year.

So much has happened since Colonial Downs and Virginia Thoroughbred racing has been dormant and it's very proactive on our part to make sure that we are ahead instead of reactive to any possible situations that could happen. That's in communications as well. We've hired Jim Luckson, a PR communications expert in the horse racing industry, to help be that facilitator. I think we've all seen lately a great deal of miss-communication to issues that happen. We want to make sure that -- we hope nothing does happen, but when it does, you want to communicate honestly, quickly and correctly to the public.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So a lot of things that we've done. We've also done a complete refurbishing of the dorms and the new mattresses as Frank said back there. We have to take care of the people that take care of the horses.

We have also done a refurbishing to the paddock, which used to have a terrible flooding problem thanks to our Virginia rains. All of this for the safety of the horse and of the jockeys.

We're employing the EMS from New Kent to be our medical services provider. We actually had them do a test run of their medevac helicopter, so if there should be any incident with a jockey on the racetrack, we have an absolute quick and dedicated way to get that jockey the best attention that they can get. We work directly with the Jockeys Guild on all of their protocols and requests that we have in place and we've also hired the MCV physicians to be our in-house first aid, first responders for our jockeys.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Equipment-wise, we invested a great deal in a brand new horse ambulance, state-of-the-art ambulance to Dr. Caruthers' specs, as well as back-up ambulances. We have chase vehicles.

Everything that we're doing with the idea of pushing out that Colonial Downs is providing a safe environment for horses, jockeys, horsemen and patrons as well, and also integrity of the product that we're putting out.

Our stall applications are being gone through at the moment. We have probably over 50 stall applications over 420 horses wanting to race here as well as ship-ins coming from all over the country.

But we will not just be letting any horse in or any horse in to race. They will have to be qualified. They will go through a very stringent examination not only by the vets, but even ahead of that with the entry process.

We're not going to fill a race with 14 horses

just to put 14 horses in the gate. The horses that will go in the gate will be horses that we feel will live up to the expectations of the racing product that we plan to put out, and that will by a committee that before entries even go out, we will look through every horse's past performance and make sure that again, it's all about the integrity of the product as we come back to racing here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So a lot we have involved ourselves in. Bringing in the right people, communicating with the VRC, with the veterinary committee that has been a help to everything we're doing. The VHBPA as well as the VEA. Everybody that is a part of this industry and Colonial Downs' commitment to being extremely strong. I know you spoke earlier, David, on some of the changes to our rules.

Also in light with today's environment and challenging environment in horse racing, we are going to enact a new whip, or crops as we like to prefer calling them now, where we will be mandating the padded crops, which was not in our house rules previously.

But in light of that, too, still following with the existing crop rules of usage by the

jockeys which will be very strongly examined by the state vet who examines every horse that comes back for how a jockey performs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are also going to have the stewards on recommendation that they meet prior to any of our racing with the jockeys. We have expectations of how those jockeys act when they're out on the track, on the horses and how they treat their horses and they will be asked to follow those regulations very closely. Those who do not will be suspended, will have actions taken against them.

So I think just to close out since we lost all my pretty pictures on there, but a lot of you have seen them before.

Another thing we have committed to is the aftercare of the horses is a big also hot button issue. So we have commitment to the TAA where we are -- a percentage of each start \$15 and then another matched \$15 by Colonial Downs will go to the TAA, Thoroughbred Aftercare Alliance, to help take care of horses that can no longer race.

We also have a commitment to the NTRA and they will be following up with us with their safety accreditation after we race, but we have been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

following all of their protocols as well.

So in closing, I think when you look at the commitment that the Colonial Downs Group has made to the entire horse industry, it is with a great deal of investment, but with a great deal of passion for the success of the industry in Virginia and that's the entire industry.

It's the harness industry. If you have show horses, if you have pets at your farm horses, it's all about the horse and the commitment to that as Virginia has a very strong history in the Thoroughbred industry, in the harness industry, in all of the horse industry and we like to think that we are a big part of helping this entire group and those that are not even involved in here today bring it back to not only where it has been, where it should be, but set a standard for the entire industry of what the expectation should be in all of horse racing.

So I look forward to it. I left Kentucky after 29 years and I am a proud Virginian and proud to be back. And 2019 so far as been a great year and we're really looking forward to August 8th and a great deal of support. Thank you to the Commission. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you. Welcome. MS. BYRNE: Sorry we lost technology here. CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Thank you very much. Does anybody have any questions for Ms. Byrne about the arrangements?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr. Chairman, just a point that Mr. Berman raised earlier. I was concerned about one of the points he made about when a person comes to wager at the races and brings their family with minor children.

I assume there's a law that says people of a certain cage can't be on the floor of a casino. Have you given any thought to providing at least a lounge area and restroom facilities on the ground level first floor for the comfort of those minor children or other members of the family who may prefer not to go on a casino floor, for a place where they can have a restroom and perhaps a place to get out of the weather and circumstances Mr. Berman raised?

MS. BYRNE: Yes, and Mr. Berman definitely made some good points and he talked about some of the things that we have done, but in light there, yes.

So under 18, you're not allowed on the gaming

floor, which is the majority. Yes, the first floor. But we are building a -- when he says hospitality tent, it's a hospitality tent that is air conditioned. It will have concessions in it. There will be tables. There will be chairs and it will have full amenities for families.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're not bringing in porta potties. We're bringing in very high level, executive, air conditioned bathrooms that will be strategically placed around the track.

Right next to the paddock, they are traditionally underneath kind of the overhang there that is open to the public. That will have concessions as well.

There will be another foldout. I call it a bar, but it is a concession sit down area. Adjacent to that, the grandstand seats will be covered with a canopy out in front, as they always have to be able to get out of the weather.

Then you can go to the second floor boxes. You can go into the second and the third floor and there is ample room and beautiful facilities up there and that will be accommodating to all families as well.

What we are also doing with the assistance of

the VHBPA, so a lot of horsemen tend to come with their families as well. We are putting a tent -again, when I say tent, air conditioned nice hospitality tent adjacent to the paddock that will be open to all horsemen and their families, connections, where they can go in out of the weather, get cool, get concessions, water, programs, and that will be right off of the paddock as well.

So we are clearly respectful of our patrons that come out and want to be able to enjoy a great day at the races.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you. I appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Any questions about any aspect of the opening? All right. Ms. Byrne, thank you very much.

MS. BYRNE: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: I'd like to make one comment and that is that Commissioner Reynolds and I had the pleasure of joining the Colonial Downs management along with the VEA and HBPA representatives and some of the veterinary community a couple of weeks ago to discuss safety protocols and some of the things that Jill has been talking about this morning, or this afternoon now at this point. Sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The comment I want to make is that it was a pleasure to be with the group, and Jill spoke to the efforts that have been made to put together a high quality team and my preliminary opinion is they've really succeeded.

It's a group that has a lot of experience, a high level of skills and maybe best of all, the desire to succeed. So congratulations to Colonial Downs Group for a great job thus far and I have a lot of optimism how the opening is going to go. So we're looking forward to August 8th. Thank you all very much.

At this point, we usually take Commissioners' comments, of which there have already been a few, but would anybody like to address any topic either on or off this agenda? I'll begin to my left.

COMMISSIONER NIXON: I just wanted to concur with you that I'm just really impressed with what Colonial has come up with and all of the steps they're taking for the safety and welfare of horsemen and horses and I'm really looking forward to opening. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER REYNOLDS: I'll just say I hope

next time you all come back we're going to approve 1 2 an agreement that you all came up with and I look 3 forward to that. Certainly, there were a lot of other issues. You've certainly given me a lot to 4 5 think about today, so I leave it at that. 6 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Mr. Vice Chairman. 7 COMMISSIONER SIEGEL: I'm okay. 8 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: We do not have a need for 9 a closed session today, and other than that, I need a motion to adjourn. 10 11 Motion. COMMISSIONER NIXON: 12 CHAIRMAN VAN CLIEF: Anybody opposed? Thank 13 you all very much. We stand adjourned. 14 15 NOTE: This meeting of the Virginia 16 Racing Commission is adjourned at 12:45 p.m. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	
3	I, Sandra G. Spinner, hereby certify that having
4	first been duly sworn, I was the Court Reporter at the
5	meeting of the Virginia Racing Commission at the time of
6	the hearing herein.
7	Further, that to the best of my ability, the
8	foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of
9	the proceedings herein.
10	Given under my hand this 16 th day of September,
11	2019.
12	
13	Sandra G. Spinner
14	
15	Sandra G. Spinner
16	Court Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	